1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11				
12	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB		
13	LITIGATION	DECLARATION OF SARAH R. LONDON IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' PORTION OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF PRETRIAL ORDER ESTABLISHING A COMMON BENEFIT FEE AND		
14 15				
16		EXPENSE FUND		
17	This Document Relates to:	Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer		
18	All Cases			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	I, Sarah R. London, hereby declare as follows:		
2	1. I am a partner of Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, an attorney licensed		
3	in the State of California and duly admitted to practice before this Court, and appointed co-lead		
4	counsel in the above captioned action.		
5	2. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' motion for entry of a pretrial		
6	order establishing a common benefit fee and expense fund.		
7	3. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and, if called upon to do so,		
8	could and would testify competently thereto.		
9	4. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of an order entered in <i>In re</i> :		
10	McKinsey & Co., Inc. Nat'l Prescrip. Opiate Consultant Litig., No. 21-md-2996, ECF No. 567		
11	(N.D. Cal. June 30, 2023).		
12	5. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of an order entered in <i>In re: Juul</i>		
13	Labs, Inc. Mkt., Sales Prac., & Prods. Liab. Litig., No. 19-md-2913, ECF No. 586 (N.D. Cal.		
14	May 27, 2020)		
15	6. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of an order entered in <i>In re Social</i>		
16	Media Litig., No. 22-md-03047, ECF No. 190 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 15, 2023),		
17	7. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America		
18	that the foregoing is true and correct.		
19	Executed on this 28th day of August 2024 in San Francisco, California.		
20	/s/ Sarah R. London		
21	Sarah R. London		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

EXHIBIT A

Case 3:21-md-02996-CRB Document 567 Filed 06/30/23 Page 1 of 13 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. 21-md-02996-CRB (SK) IN RE: MCKINSEY & CO., INC. NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE 12 CONSULTANT LITIGATION [CORRECTED] [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 9: ESTABLISHING A 13 COMMON BENEFIT FEE AND EXPENSE This Order Relates to: **FUND** 14 **ALL ACTIONS** 15 16 THIS ORDER is entered to supplement Pretrial Order No. 3 (Protocol for Common 17 Benefit Work and Expenses) entered August 18, 2021 in this litigation ("PTO-3"). PTO-3 18 remains in full force and effect and is hereby supplemented as follows: 19 On August 16, 2021, this Court appointed a Plaintiffs' leadership structure.² 1. 20 2. On August 18, 2021, this Court entered PTO-3, which sets forth detailed 21 22 instructions for the performance of common benefit work, and for the type of work and expenses that could qualify for potential compensation and reimbursement. Under this authority and with 23 this guidance, Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, the members of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee 24 ("PSC"), and other authorized counsel (collectively, "Participating Counsel"), have done and 25 26 ¹ ECF No. 215. 27

² ECF No. 211 (Pretrial Order No. 2: Order Appointing Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and Plaintiffs' Steering Committee).

will continue to do common benefit work on a contingent basis. The PSC has invested and will continue to invest substantial time and financial resources related to motion practice, discovery, and bellwether trials. This work has and will benefit all Plaintiffs with claims against Defendants related to the subject matter of this MDL.

- 3. It is just and appropriate to provide a system of assessment on any settlements and recoveries, to which this substantial effort has contributed, commensurate with common benefit assessments ordered in recent and contemporary MDLs.
- 4. The Court enters this Order: (1) to avoid unnecessary conflicts and expense, conserve judicial resources, and expedite the disposition of all the cases in this complex litigation; (2) to provide for the equitable sharing among Plaintiffs and their counsel of the burden of services performed and expenses incurred by attorneys acting for the common benefit of all Plaintiffs in this complex litigation (collectively, "Common Benefit Work"); and (3) to enable Plaintiffs' attorneys who wish to obtain the work-product of the PSC and the work-product of others who perform authorized common benefit work (collectively, the "Common Benefit Work Product"), an opportunity to obtain such work product.
- 5. For purposes of this and other common benefit-related Orders, the phrase "common benefit" refers to Lead Counsel-authorized and timely reported work performed and costs incurred on behalf of one or more of the definable groups or categories of plaintiffs with cases in these proceedings, such as Subdivisions, School Districts, Tribes, Third Party Payors, or NAS plaintiffs, or all plaintiffs generally.

I. GOVERNING PRINCIPLES—THE COMMON BENEFIT DOCTRINE

6. This Order is entered to provide for the fair and equitable sharing, among all beneficiaries, of the value of the services performed and expenses incurred by attorneys acting for the common benefit of all plaintiffs in this complex litigation. This is accomplished by directing Defendants who have appeared in these proceedings, and over whom this Court has exercised jurisdiction, in the event of settlement, verdicts, and/or other recoveries, to either hold back or self-fund a designated percentage of their related settlements. The Court's authority derives from the Supreme Court's common benefit doctrine, as established in *Trustees v*.

- 7. This and many other courts have properly exercised their inherent case management authority to apply the common benefit doctrine in MDL proceedings. See In re Nat'l Prescription Opiate Litig. (MDL No. 2804) (N.D. Ohio 2022), ECF Nos. 4804, 5503; In re Bard IVC Filters Prods. Liab. Litig. (MDL No. 2641), 603 F. Supp. 822 (D. Ariz. 2022); In re Social Media Adolescent Addiction(/Personal Injury Products. Liab. Litig. (MDL No. 3047) (N.D. Cal. 2022); In re Cook Med., Inc., Pelvic Repair Sys. (MDL No. 2440), 365 F. Supp. 3d 685, 695 (S.D.W. Va. 2019) (collecting cases); In re Zyprexa Prods. Liab. Litig. (MDL No. 1596), 467 F. Supp. 2d 256, 265–67 (E.D.N.Y. 2009); In re Bextra/Celebrex Prods. Liab. Litig. (MDL No. 1699), Pretrial Order No. 8A (N.D. Cal. 2008) (8% assessment); In re Sulzer Hip Prosthesis & Knee Prosthesis Prods. Liab. Litig., 268 F. Supp. 2d 907 (N.D. Ohio 2003), aff'd, 398 F.3d 778 (6th Cir. 2005); In re MGM Grand Hotel Fire Litig., 660 F. Supp. 522, 525–29 (D. Nev. 1987); In re Air Crash Disaster at Florida Everglades on December 29, 1972, 549 F.2d 1006, 1019–21 (5th Cir. 1977). The Third Circuit most recently endorsed this important case management tool in Home Depot USA, Inc. v. LaFarge North America, Inc., 59 F.4th 55 (3d Cir. 2023).
- 8. Use of the common benefit doctrine to compensate attorneys who work for the common good of all plaintiffs is necessary for MDLs to be an effective means for the timely and economic resolution of cases. Management of complex MDLs would be impossible without court-appointed counsel. *See Bard IVC Filters*, 603 F. Supp. 3d at 831. If court-appointed counsel "are to be an effective tool, the court must have the means at its disposal to order appropriate compensation for them. The court's power is illusory if it is dependent upon [court-appointed] counsel's performing the duties desired of them for no additional compensation." *Everglades*, 549 F.2d at 1012. Thus, in consolidated MDL proceedings, it is standard practice to order that a percentage of all recoveries be contributed to a fund to compensate attorneys who provide work for the common benefit of all plaintiffs. *Manual for Complex Litigation (Fourth)*

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	§ 20.312 (2004) ("MDL judges generally issue orders directing that defendants who settle MDL		
2	related cases contribute a fixed percentage of the settlement to a general fund to pay national		
3	couns	el.").	
4	II.	APP	PLICATION AND SCOPE
5		9.	This Order applies to:
6 7			a) All cases or claims now or later subject to the jurisdiction of this Court in this MDL, regardless of whether the case is resolved while the case is
8			pending before this Court, after a remand from this Court to the transferor court, or in bankruptcy; ³
9 10			b) All cases or claims, filed or unfiled, in which any counsel associated with any one case filed in or transferred to this MDL has a fee interest; and,
11			c) All cases or claims settled pursuant to an MDL-negotiated or supervised settlement agreement.
12	III. PLAINTIFFS' FEE AND EXPENSE ACCOUNTS		
13		10.	Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel is directed to establish two bank accounts (the
14	"Acco	ounts")	to receive and disperse funds consistent with this Order (the "Funds"). These Funds
15	will be	e held s	subject to the direction of this Court. The first fund shall be designated as the "Fee
16	Fund," and the second should be designated as the "Expense Fund."		
17		A.	Establishing the Fee and Expense Accounts
18		11.	The accounting department of Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's firm is directed to serve
19	as "Ac	dminist	rator" to oversee the Accounts and to receive and disburse funds in the event of
20	settlements or verdicts as provided in this Order and any subsequent Orders. The Accounts will		
21	be held subject to the direction of this Court.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26	ll		determined to be improperly removed to this Court after the Court's consideration
27	ll		motion and is remanded to the transferor court, the case will not automatically be ssessment just by virtue of it having been temporarily venued in this Court.

However, if the case received and benefited from the work product of the MDL, it could be

assessed, after due consideration by the Court following briefing by the parties.

В.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Administration of the Fee and Expense Accounts

- 2 12. The Accounts shall be established at a commercial bank that Plaintiffs' Lead 3 Counsel shall select in consultation with the PSC. The commercial bank shall be the "Escrow Agent." 4
 - 13. No disbursement shall be made from the Accounts other than by Order of this Court pursuant to a petition requesting an award of fees and reimbursement of expenses (a "Petition"). No Petition shall be filed without leave of Court. No person or entity has any right to make any claim against any of the amounts held in the Accounts except to the extent this Court issues an Order directing the disbursement of any amounts to such a person or entity. The rights of any such person or entity are limited to the amount ordered by the Court to be so disbursed to that particular person or entity. Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel may, in consultation with the PSC, convene a common benefit fees and costs committee, or utilize another appropriate mechanism, to make recommendations to the Court for the award of common benefit fees and costs. Any and all such awards require Court approval on noticed motions.
 - 14. The amounts held in the Accounts shall not constitute the separate property of any person or entity or be subject to garnishment or attachment for the debts of any person or entity. However, any specific amounts ordered by the Court to be disbursed to a person or entity, upon the entry of such an Order, can then be subject to garnishment or attachment, limited to the amount of the disbursement so ordered. These limitations do not preclude a person or entity from transferring, assigning, or creating a security interest in potential disbursements from the Accounts to which such person or entity may be entitled as determined by the Court, if permitted by applicable state laws and if subject to the conditions and contingencies of this Order. However, no notice of lien or security interest in potential disbursements or of a transfer or assignment of a right of potential disbursements shall be effective unless and until it is filed in this Court and served upon the Administrator.
 - In connection with the administrative services, the Administrator shall: 15.
 - Have all such power and authority over the Accounts as necessary or a) convenient to exercise the authority granted in this Order;

Casse33221nmde10229966CCFBB DDocumeent155971 FFField066307223 FFage666061133

- 1 b) Keep and report periodically to the Court, to the extent requested by the Court, an accounting of the funds received, maintained, and 2 disbursed relating to the Accounts; 3 Have the authority to instruct the Escrow Agent with respect to c) permitted investments of the Accounts; 4 Make decisions and take action with respect to treatment of the d) 5 Accounts for purposes of compliance with the Internal Revenue 6 Code and any applicable local or state tax codes, including creating reports, maintaining and reporting relating to the Accounts and their 7 income, if any, derived therefrom, and as in a Qualified Settlement Fund or such other entity as the Administrator deems appropriate; 8
 - e) Out of the assets of the Accounts, purchase and maintain reasonable amounts and types of insurance for errors and omissions or fidelity bonds;
 - f) Have the authority to procure, upon consultation with the PSC, professional accounting, legal, and other services for the purposes of carrying out the tasks described in this Order, and to be reimbursed for the expenses of such services; and,
 - g) Have the authority to adopt and implement reasonable procedures consistent with this Order and in consultation with the PSC.

16. Unless required by law (as with a settlement containing a class action component in which certain class members settle parallel to, but outside of, the settlement class), or as otherwise agreed to by Defendants and the PSC, details of any individual settlement agreement, individual settlement amount, and individual amounts deposited into the Accounts shall be treated as confidential by the Administrator and shall not be disclosed by the Administrator to the public, the Court, or the Court's designee, unless the Court requests that it receive that information *in camera*. The Administrator shall, however, provide statements to the Court upon its request, showing only the aggregate of the monthly deposits, disbursements, interest earned, financial institution charges, if any, and current balance.

C. Requirements of the Escrow Agent

17. The Escrow Agent shall be a commercial bank that: (1) has deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; (2) is organized under the laws of the United States or any state thereof; and (3) has a total risk-based capital in excess of \$5 billion and meets the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

minimum risk-based ratios established under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Improvement Act of 1991. The Escrow Agent may act as paying agent, depository, custodian, or trustee with respect to funds it holds.

- 18. The Administrator shall consider, in designating the Escrow Agent and in procuring professional services, the charges that the Escrow Agent or provider of professional services will impose for its actions and the ability of the Escrow Agent or provider of professional services to undertake the tasks called for with efficiency and responsiveness.
- 19. The Escrow Agent shall not acquire or hold for longer than 90 days, any debt securities, certificates, or investments unless such instruments are a U.S. Treasury Bill, U.S. Treasury Money Market, U.S. Government Money Market, or similar type of account guaranteed by the United States or an agency thereof, including an FDIC-Insured Account. The U.S. Treasury Money Market or U.S. Government Money Market must be registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. In determining investments to be held by the Escrow Agent, primary regard shall be given by the Escrow Agent to safety of principal.
- 20. The reasonable fees and reasonable expenses of the Administrator and Escrow Agent shall be paid by the PSC. The Administrator and Escrow Agent shall each provide to the PSC their statements for their reasonable fees and reasonable expenses charged on a monthly basis. When this Court authorizes the filing of a Petition, the reasonable fees and expenses of the Administrator and Escrow Agent that were paid by the PSC may be included as items for reimbursement from the Accounts. The Petition shall include copies of the statements of the Administrator and Escrow Agent that had been submitted on a monthly basis to the PSC to support the request for reimbursement of such payments made by the PSC for which reimbursement is requested.

IV. PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT AND ELIGIBLE PARTICIPATING COUNSEL

21. Pursuant to PTO-3, the recovery of common benefit attorneys' fees and cost reimbursements will be limited to "Participating Counsel," defined as Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and members of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee (along with members and staff of their

7

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

- respective firms), any other counsel authorized by Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel to perform work that may be considered for common benefit compensation, and/or counsel who have been specifically approved by this Court as Participating Counsel prior to incurring any such cost or expense.
- 22. An agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** (the "Participation Agreement") is approved by this Court for signature by attorneys for the purposes set forth below.
- 23. The Participation Agreement can be entered into by plaintiffs' attorneys on a voluntary basis. The Participation Agreement is a private and cooperative agreement between the PSC and plaintiffs' attorneys only. It is not an agreement with any Defendant.
- 24. There is no need for an attorney who already has a case filed in or transferred to this Court or who represents a plaintiff or claimant in any MDL proceeding to sign the Participation Agreement, because they are automatically subject to PTO-3, and any amendments, with regard to all cases in which they have a fee interest, regardless of whether any of their other cases are filed in other jurisdictions, or not yet filed.
- 25. Plaintiffs' attorneys who do not execute the Participation Agreement and who are not deemed signatories to the Participation Agreement, or are otherwise not bound to common benefit assessments pursuant to PTO-3, and any amendments, are hereinafter referred to as "Non-Participating Counsel."
- 26. Participating Counsel who execute the Participation Agreement shall be entitled to access to the Common Benefit Work Product for use in all of the cases or claims of their clients, whether the case or claim has been filed or not, and if filed, for use in any court in which it was filed even if not filed in this MDL, and for use for the benefit of non-filed claims, including any for which a tolling agreement exists. All claims or cases of a counsel who has executed the Participation Agreement shall be assessed whether the claim or case has or had not been filed, and all claims or cases in which a counsel who has executed the Participation Agreement has a fee interest shall be assessed.
- 27. Non-Participating Counsel, who do not execute the Participation Agreement and who are not deemed to have executed the Participation Agreement, shall have no right of access

to the Common Benefit Work Product. However, in the event it is determined that such counsel in any fashion benefited from the Common Benefit Work Product or the administrative functions of the PSC, then all cases and claims of clients of such counsel, whether filed or not, shall be subject to the assessment described in this Order. It is deemed that the fair liquidated damages for such unauthorized use of the Common Benefit Work Product is equal to the assessment percentage(s) set by this Order. The Court will also consider an application by the PSC for payment of its fees and costs to enforce this Order with respect to any unauthorized procurement or use of the Common Benefit Work Product.

28. The PSC may periodically request that attorneys who are subject to the assessment provide a list of all cases filed, regardless of jurisdiction, and a list of all claims of clients represented or in which they have a fee interest whether the case is filed or not or on a tolling agreement or not, to facilitate the PSC's ability to keep track of all cases and claims that are subject to the assessment. Further, all counsel with cases filed in or transferred to this Court, and those who sign the Participation Agreement, must comply with such a request within 30 days of the request.

V. ASSESSMENTS AND PAYMENTS INTO THE ACCOUNTS

A. Assessment Allocation

- 29. For Participating Counsel, the assessment shall be 7.5%. This 7.5% assessment is payable from the attorneys' fee portions of the "Gross Monetary Recovery," defined below, and applies, unless otherwise ordered, to all settlements reached and judgments entered in this litigation, whether before or after the date of this Order.
- 30. If the 7.5% amount exceeds one-half (1/2) of Participating Counsel's total contingency fee for a given client, then the contingent assessment will instead be limited to one-half (1/2) of the contingency fee of Participating Counsel on each settlement or judgment. This assessment and limit is equivalent to that ordered in a related contemporary MDL, *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, MDL No. 2804 (N.D. Ohio), and within the range of modern MDL assessments. The MDL assessments represent a hold back pursuant to *In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation*, 467 F. Supp. 2d 256, 266 (2d Cir. 2006).

B. <u>Calculating the Assessment</u>

- 31. For any attorney subject to an assessment under the terms of this Order, the assessment is owed on the "Gross Monetary Recovery" on all of that attorney's cases or claims.
- 32. A Gross Monetary Recovery occurs when a plaintiff agrees or has agreed—for monetary consideration—to settle, compromise, dismiss, or reduce the amount of a claim (a "Settlement") or, with or without trial, recover a judgment for monetary damages or other monetary relief, including compensatory, and/or abatement costs and/or punitive damages (a "Judgment"), with respect to any opioids-related claims against McKinsey, including any or all claims alleged against McKinsey in the Master Complaints and case-specific complaints (and amendments thereto) in these MDL proceedings (individual or class), including but not limited to the private, public, or government-entity plaintiffs (including cities, counties, school districts, Indian tribes, state attorneys general, and participating States).
 - 33. The Gross Monetary Recovery:
 - a. Excludes court costs that are to be paid by Defendant(s); and,
 - b. Includes the present value of any fixed and certain payments to be made in the future, such as those that come about as a result of a structured settlement of a claim or payments for future abatement costs.

C. Defendants' Obligations

34. Defendants and their counsel shall not distribute any Settlement or Judgment proceeds to any counsel or plaintiff until after (1) Defendants' counsel notifies Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel in writing of the existence of a settlement and the name of the individual plaintiff's attorney (without disclosing the amount of the settlement); (2) Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel consults with the designee, if necessary, to ascertain if the attorney or his/her/their firm is a firm subject to an assessment; and (3) the PSC has advised Defendants' counsel in writing whether or not the individual plaintiff's attorney's cases are subject to an assessment and the amount (stated as a percentage of the recovery) of the assessment pursuant to this Order. Any of the Defendants' counsel shall be permitted to share this information with the PSC, who shall otherwise keep this information confidential.

- 36. Upon payment of the assessment into the Accounts, Defendants, the PSC, and its individual members shall be released from any and all liability to any person, attorney, or claimant with respect to the assessment placed into the Accounts. Any person, attorney, or claimant allegedly aggrieved by an assessment pursuant to this Order shall seek recourse as against the Accounts only, provided, however, that notice and an opportunity to be heard shall be given to both the Defendants and the PSC.
- 37. This Order shall in no way be read to affect or otherwise encumber any Defendants' obligation to pay attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to fee-shifting statutes, if any, that may apply in this case.

D. Other Rights

38. Nothing in this Order is intended to impair the attorney/client relationship or any contingency fee contract deemed lawful by the attorneys' respective bar rules and/or state court nor otherwise interfere with public entities' rights to, and exercise of, control in their respective cases.

VI. COMMON BENEFIT WORK

A. Qualified Common Benefit Work Eligible for Reimbursement

39. Pursuant to PTO-3, only Participating Counsel are eligible for reimbursement for time and efforts expended for the Common Benefit. Participating Counsel shall be eligible to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	seek reimburs	ement for time and efforts expended for Common Benefit Work if said time and	
2	efforts are:		
3		a. for the common benefit of Plaintiffs as defined in Paragraph 5 of this Order;	
4		b. timely submitted; and	
5		c. reasonable.	
6	40.	All submissions and applications for common benefit fees and/or costs must	
7	comply with the procedures, requirements, and guidelines of PTO-3. This Court retains the		
8	discretion to amend or supplement PTO-3, and this Order, as necessary and appropriate to reflect		
9	ongoing developments in the litigation.		
10	41.	Time spent on unauthorized work will not be compensable.	
11	42.	Duplicative work may not be approved for compensation.	
12	43.	The provisions of PTO-3, Section I(A) are incorporated herein as if set forth in	
13	their entirety.		
14	В.	Common Benefit Timekeeping Protocols	
15	44.	The provisions of PTO-3, Section I(B) are incorporated herein as if set forth in	
16	their entirety.		
17	VII. COMMON BENEFIT EXPENSES		
18	Α.	Qualified Common Benefit Expenses Eligible for Reimbursement	
19	45.	In order to be eligible for reimbursement, expenses ("Common Benefit	
20	Expenses") must meet the requirements set forth in PTO-3, Section I(D), which are incorporated		
21	herein as if set forth in their entirety. Said expenses must be for the common benefit of Plaintiffs		
22	in this MDL a	as a whole and must be approved by Lead Counsel prior to payment.	
23	В.	Shared Costs Defined	
24	46.	The provisions of PTO-3 Section I(D)(1) are incorporated herein as if set forth in	
25	their entirety.		
26	C.	Held Costs Defined	
27	47.	The provisions of PTO-3 Section I(D)(2) are incorporated herein as if set forth in	
28	their entirety.		
	2805502.1	- 12 - [CORRECTED] [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 9	

D. Common Benefit Submission of Time and Expense Protocols

48. The provisions of PTO-3 Section I(E) are incorporated herein as if set forth in their entirety.

VIII. FURTHER PROCEEDINGS AND CONTINUING JURISDICTION

- 49. The payment of attorneys' fees and expenses for any class action settlement or recovery is governed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(h) or any analogous state court procedural rules. This Order is without prejudice to such other assessments or awards of fees and costs as may be ordered by this Court under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(h) or any analogous state court procedural rules, the common benefit doctrine, or that may be provided by contract between the parties to a group or global settlement, provided that any order or agreement that would alter the common benefit obligations set forth herein is also subject to the approval of this Court.
- 50. The intent of this Order is to establish, secure, and supervise a fund to promote the purposes and policies of the common benefit doctrine and provide a source for equitable payment of services rendered and costs incurred for the benefit of plaintiffs.
- 51. If all parties to a future settlement agree that exceptional circumstances warrant a departure from the holdback obligations, or other provisions of this Order, they shall submit affidavits thereon and request appropriate relief from the Court.
- 52. Any disputes or requests for relief from or modification of this Order will be decided by the Court in the exercise of its continuing jurisdiction over the parties, and authority and discretion under the common benefit doctrine.

For Good Cause Shown,

IT IS SO ORDERED.

THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER

EXHIBIT B

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 58	6 Filed 05/27/20 Page 1 of 30
UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS	Case No. 19-md-02913-WHO
LIABILITY LITIGATION	CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 5(A) ESTABLISHING A COMMON BENEFIT FEE AND EXPENSE FUND
This Document Relates to:	DENERTI FEB AND EXILENCE FOND
ALL ACTIONS	
- ALL RETIONS	
THIS ORDER is entered to supplement	t Case Management Order No. 5 (Common
Benefit Order—Timekeeping and Expenses Pro	
("CMO-5"). CMO-5 remains in full force and 6	
	rt appointed a Plaintiffs' leadership structure. ²
	entered CMO-5, which sets forth detailed
instructions for the performance of common bend	
•	•
that could qualify for potential compensation and	remioursement. Onder this authority and with
¹ ECF Doc. No. 352.	
² ECF Doc. No. 341.	
- 1 -	CMO NO. 5(A)
	Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

- 3. The MDL and *JUUL Labs Product Cases*, JCCP 5052 (hereinafter "JCCP") (collectively referred to as "Lead Actions") are in the process of negotiating a Coordination Order. Plaintiffs' Steering Committee for the MDL and Plaintiffs' Steering Committee for the JCCP (collectively referred to as "PSCs") have been working cooperatively concerning discovery and coordination of the Lead Actions.
- 4. The Court continues to encourage a cooperative approach by all plaintiffs in federal and state court. To facilitate coordination, this Court anticipates entering a Joint Coordination Order through which work product will be shared between the Lead Actions, state court plaintiffs in other coordinated and independent actions, and presumably the State Attorneys General for their respective cases.
- 5. On February 18, 2020, the JCCP Court appointed the Plaintiffs' Leadership structure.³ Co-Lead Counsel for Individual Plaintiffs in the JCCP will seek entry of a similar order ("Parallel Common Benefit Order") from Hon. Ann I. Jones. The goal of the Parallel Common Benefit Order will be to enable a substantially similar system in the JCCP action governing its fees, expenses, common benefit work assignments, and reporting as outlined in this Order. Co-Lead Counsel from the Lead Actions agree that parallel common benefit orders will facilitate cooperation and coordination between the federal and state cases.
- 6. It is just and appropriate to provide a system of assessment on any settlements and recoveries, to which this substantial effort has contributed, commensurate with common benefit assessments ordered in recent MDLs.

³ JUUL Labs Product Cases, JCCP 5052 Gase Management Order 1.1. CMO NO. 5(A)
Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

8. The Court is aware that the Lead Actions worked collaboratively to submit a proposal regarding common benefit procedures, as well as the intent of the JCCP leadership for Individual Plaintiffs to seek a Parallel Common Benefit Order, and accordingly enters the provisions applicable to the MDL as set forth below.

I. GOVERNING PRINCIPLES—THE COMMON BENEFIT DOCTRINE

- 9. This Order is entered to provide for the fair and equitable sharing, among all beneficiaries, of the value of the services performed and expenses incurred by attorneys acting for the common benefit of all plaintiffs in this complex litigation. This is accomplished by directing Defendants who have appeared in these proceedings, and over whom this Court has exercised jurisdiction, in the event of settlement, verdicts, and/or other recoveries, to either hold back or self-fund a designated percentage of their related settlements. The Court's authority derives from the Supreme Court's common benefit doctrine, as established in *Trustees v*. *Greenough*, 105 U.S. 527 (1881); *Central Railroad & Banking Co. v. Pettus*, 113 U.S. 116 (1884); *Sprague v. Ticonic National Bank*, 307 U.S. 161 (1939); *Mills v. Electric Auto-Lite Co.*, 396 U.S. 375 (1970); and *Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert*, 444 U.S. 472 (1980).
- 10. Courts have properly exercised their inherent case management authority to apply the common benefit doctrine in MDL proceedings. *See In re Cook Medical, Inc., Pelvic Repair* Systems, 365 F. Supp. 3d 685, 695 (MDL No. 2440) (S.D.W.V. 2019) (collecting cases); *In re MGM Grand Hotel Fire Litig.*, 660 F. Supp. 522, 525-29 (D. Nev. 1987); *In re Air Crash* 3 CMO NO. 5(A)

Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 21 of 85

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 586 Filed 05/27/20 Page 4 of 30

Disaster at Florida Everglades on December 29,1972, 549 F.2d 1006, 1019-21 (5th Cir. 1977); In re Zyprexa Prods. Liab. Litig. (MDL No. 1596), 467 F. Supp. 2d 256, 265-267 (E.D.N.Y. 2009); In re Sulzer Hip Prosthesis and Knee Prosthesis Prods. Liab. Litig., 268 F.Supp.2d 907 (N.D. Ohio 2003), affirmed, 398 F.3d 778 (6th Cir. 2005).

11. Use of the common benefit doctrine to compensate attorneys who work for the common good of all plaintiffs is necessary for MDLs to be an effective means for the timely and economic resolution of cases. Management of complex MDLs would be impossible without court-appointed counsel. If court-appointed counsel "are to be an effective tool, the court must have the means at its disposal to order appropriate compensation for them. The court's power is illusory if it is dependent upon [court-appointed] counsel's performing the duties desired of them for no additional compensation." *Everglades*, 549 F.2d at 1012. Thus, in consolidated MDL proceedings, it is standard to order that a percentage of all recoveries be contributed to a fund to compensate attorneys who provide work for the common benefit of all plaintiffs. *Manual for Complex Litigation (Fourth)* § 20.312 (2004) ("MDL judges generally issue orders directing that defendants who settle MDL-related cases contribute a fixed percentage of the settlement to a general fund to pay national counsel.").

II. APPLICATION AND SCOPE

- 12. This Order applies to:
 - a) All cases or claims now or later subject to the jurisdiction of this Court in this MDL, regardless of whether the case is resolved while the case is pending before this Court, after a remand from this Court to the transferor court, or in bankruptcy;⁴
 - b) All cases or claims, filed or unfiled, in which any counsel associated with any one case filed in or transferred to this MDL has a fee interest;
 - Coordinated Actions as that term is defined in an anticipated
 Coordination Order, to the extent attorneys in those actions also have fee

⁴ If a case is determined to be improperly removed to this Court after the Court's consideration of a remand motion and is remanded to the transferor court, the case will not automatically be subject to assessment just by virtue of it having been temporarily venued in this Court. However, if the case

assessment just by virtue of it having been temporarily venued in this Court. However, if the case received and benefited from the work product of the MDL, it could be assessed, after due consideration by the Court following briefing by the parties and with input from the Common Benefit Special Master.

- 4 - CMO NO. 5(A)
Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

1 2			interests in cases filed or transferred to this MDL, sign the Participation Agreement, or utilize the work product of this MDL;
3			d) All cases or claims settled pursuant to an MDL-negotiated or supervised settlement agreement; and,
4 5			e) All cases or claims of clients of any counsel who signed the Participation Agreement as defined herein, whether the case was filed, unfiled or
6			tolled.
7	III.	STAT	ΓE-FEDERAL COORDINATION
8		A.	Coordination with the Consolidated California State Court Litigation
9		13.	Coordination on discovery and case management between the Lead Actions will
10	be effectuated as set forth in the anticipated Coordination Orders to be entered by this Court and		
11	the JCCP Court and otherwise where practicable and appropriate, through cooperation between		
12	the leadership counsel for the MDL and the JCCP.		
13		В.	Establishing of Joint Document Depository
14		14.	The Court understands that the PSCs have established a joint platform for hosting
15	docum	ents (th	e "Joint Document Depository"). The Joint Document Depository shall be
16	availab	ole for the	he use of the plaintiffs in this MDL and the JCCP, subject to the terms set forth
17	below,	and oth	ner attorneys who sign the Participation Agreement.
18	IV.	<u>PLAI</u>	INTIFFS' JUUL FEE AND EXPENSES ACCOUNTS
19		15.	The MDL Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel is directed to establish two bank accounts
20	(the "A	Account	s") to receive and disperse funds provided in this Order (the "Funds"). These
21	Funds will be held subject to the direction of this Court. The first fund shall be designated as the		
22	"JUUL Fee Fund" and the second should be designated as "JUUL Expense Fund" respectively.		
23	The Co	ourt und	lerstands that JCCP Leadership for Individual Plaintiffs as set forth above will
24	move t	o estab	lish two similar JCCP accounts in the Parallel Common Benefit Order.
25		A.	Establishing the JUUL Fee and Expense Accounts
26		16.	The MDL Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel, in consultation with the MDL PSC, shall
27	identif	y a Cert	tified Public Accountant to serve as "Administrator" to be appointed by a separate
28	Order	who wi	ll be directed to oversee the Accounts and to receive and disburse funds in the

- 5 -

event of settlements or verdicts as provided in this Order and any subsequent Orders. The Accounts will be held subject to the direction of this Court.

disbursed to that particular person or entity.

this Court and served upon the Administrator.

2 3

В. **Administration of the JUUL Fee and Expense Accounts**

4 5

17. The Accounts shall be established at a commercial bank that the Administrator shall select in consultation with the MDL PSC. The commercial bank shall be the "Escrow

Court pursuant to a petition requesting an award of fees and reimbursement of expenses (a

to make any claim against any of the amounts held in the Accounts except to the extent this

rights of any such person or entity are limited to the amount ordered by the Court to be so

"Petition"). No Petition shall be filed without leave of Court. No person or entity has any right

Court issues an Order directing the disbursement of any amounts to such a person or entity. The

person or entity or be subject to garnishment or attachment for the debts of any person or entity.

However, any specific amounts ordered by the Court to be disbursed to a person or entity, upon

amount of the disbursement so ordered. These limitations do not preclude a person or entity from

Accounts to which such person or entity may be entitled as determined by the Court, if permitted

the entry of such an Order, can then be subject to garnishment or attachment, limited to the

transferring, assigning, or creating a security interest in potential disbursements from the

by applicable state laws and if subject to the conditions and contingencies of this Order.

However, no notice of lien or security interest in potential disbursements or of a transfer or

No disbursement shall be made from the Accounts other than by Order of this

The amounts held in the Accounts shall not constitute the separate property of any

6

Agent." 7

18.

19.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

20. In connection with the administrative services, the Administrator shall:

assignment of a right of potential disbursements shall be effective unless and until it is filed in

- Have all such power and authority over the Accounts as necessary or a) convenient to exercise the authority granted in this Order;
- b) Keep and report periodically to the Court, to the extent requested by the Court, an accounting of the funds received, maintained and

Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

1 disbursed relating to the Accounts; 2 c) Have the authority to instruct the Escrow Agent with respect to permitted investments of the Accounts; 3 Make decisions and take action with respect to treatment of the d) 4 Accounts for purposes of compliance with the Internal Revenue Code and any applicable local or state tax codes, including creating 5 reports, maintaining and reporting relating to the Accounts and their 6 income, if any, derived therefrom, and as in a Qualified Settlement Fund or such other entity as the Administrator deems appropriate; 7 Out of the assets of the Accounts, purchase and maintain reasonable e) 8 amounts and types of insurance for errors and omissions or fidelity bonds; 9 f) Have the authority to procure, upon consultation with the MDL 10 PSC, professional accounting, legal and other services for the 11 purposes of carrying out the tasks described in this Order, and to be reimbursed for the expenses of such services; and, 12 Have the authority to adopt and implement reasonable procedures g) 13 consistent with this Order and in consultation with the MDL PSC. 14 21. Unless otherwise agreed to by Defendants and the MDL PSC, details of any 15 individual settlement agreement, individual settlement amount, and individual amounts 16 deposited into the Accounts shall be treated as confidential by the Administrator and shall not be 17 disclosed by the Administrator to the MDL PSC, the Court, or the Court's designee, unless the 18 Court requests that it receive that information in camera. The Administrator shall, however, on a 19 quarterly basis provide statements to the MDL Co-Lead counsel and the Courts showing only the 20 aggregate of the monthly deposits, disbursements, interest earned, financial institution charges, if 21 any, and current balance. 22 C. **Requirements of the Escrow Agent** 23 22. The Escrow Agent shall be a commercial bank that: (1) has deposits insured by 24 the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; (2) is organized under the laws of the United States 25 or any state thereof; and (3) has a total risk-based capital in excess of \$5 billion and meets the 26 minimum risk-based ratios established under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation 27 28

- 23. The Administrator shall consider, in designating the Escrow Agent and in procuring professional services, the charges that the Escrow Agent or provider of professional services will impose for its actions and the ability of the Escrow Agent or provider of professional services to undertake the tasks called for with efficiency and responsiveness.
- 24. The Escrow Agent shall not acquire or hold for longer than 90 days, any debt securities, certificates or investments unless such instruments are a U.S. Treasury Bill, U.S. Treasury Money Market, U.S. Government Money Market or similar type of account guaranteed by the United States or an agency thereof, including an FDIC-Insured Account. The U.S. Treasury Money Market or U.S. Government Money Market must be registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. In determining investments to be held by the Escrow Agent, primary regard shall be given by the Escrow Agent to safety of principal.
- Agent shall be paid by the MDL PSC. The Administrator and Escrow Agent shall each provide to the MDL PSC their statements for their reasonable fees and reasonable expenses charged on a monthly basis. When this Court authorizes the filing of a Petition, the reasonable fees and expenses of the Administrator and Escrow Agent that were paid by the MDL PSC may be included as items for reimbursement from the Accounts. The Petition shall include copies of the statements of the Administrator and Escrow Agent that had been submitted on a monthly basis to the MDL PSC to support the request for reimbursement of such payments made by the MDL PSC for which reimbursement is requested.

V. <u>APPOINTMENT OF THE SPECIAL MASTER FOR COMMON BENEFIT REVIEW AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION</u>

26. The Lead Actions have advised the Court that they have reached an agreement that Retired Judge Gail A. Andler, formerly of the Orange County Superior Court Complex Department, presently with the mediation group JAMS in Irvine, California, would be an appropriate special master to audit reported common benefit time and costs, and to resolve any

CMO NO. 5(A) Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

common benefit disputes that may arise between any parties authorized to submit common
benefit time and or expenses (the "Common Benefit Special Master"). The Court finds that
Judge Andler is well-qualified to perform these tasks. Accordingly, the Court appoints Judge
Gail A. Andler as Common Benefit Special Master to perform the necessary case time and cost
management functions pursuant to CMO-5, this Order, and any amendments. The Court will
issue a separate Order regarding this appointment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
53.

27. The MDL PSC, in consultation with the Common Benefit Special Master and the JCCP leadership, may choose to retain a vendor to provide an electronic platform for reporting of common benefit time and costs. The Common Benefit Special Master shall provide quarterly reports to the MDL Co-Leads and the JCCP leadership as to her review of the common benefit time and cost submissions. Within thirty days of each report being provided to the MDL Co-Leads, the MDL Co-Leads shall submit a report to the Court including the Common Benefit Special Master's findings as well as any matters that the Co-Leads believe merit the Court's attention. Because of the nature of the information contained in this submission, it may be made *ex parte* and will not be submitted to Defense Counsel or posted on any docket.

IV. PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT AND ELIGIBLE PARTICIPATING COUNSEL

- 28. An agreement attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "Participation Agreement") is approved by this Court for signature by attorneys for the purposes set forth below.
- 29. The Participation Agreement can be entered into by plaintiffs' attorneys on a voluntary basis. The Participation Agreement is a private and cooperative agreement between the MDL PSC and plaintiffs' attorneys only. It is not an agreement with any Defendants.
- 30. There is no need for an attorney who already has a case filed in or transferred to this Court to sign the Participation Agreement, because they are automatically subject to the Common Benefit Orders CMO-5 and CMO-5(a), and any amendments (unless they met the criteria of a remand for wrongful removal as set forth in footnote 3) with regard to all cases in

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

which they have a fee interest, regardless of whether any of their other cases are filed in other jurisdictions, or not yet filed.

- 31. Plaintiffs' attorneys who execute the Participation Agreement are hereinafter referred to as "Participating Counsel." Plaintiffs' attorneys who do not execute the Participation Agreement and who are not deemed signatories to the Participation Agreement, or are otherwise not bound to common benefit assessments pursuant to CMO-5 and CMO5(a), and any amendments are hereinafter referred to as "Non-Participating Counsel."
- 32. All counsel for Coordinated Actions must sign the Participation Agreement in order to obtain MDL Common Benefit Work Product, and if otherwise authorized, to submit for common benefit time and costs.
- 33. Participating Counsel who execute the Participation Agreement shall be entitled to access to the Common Benefit Work Product for use in all of the cases or claims of their clients, whether the case or claim has been filed or not, and if filed, for use in any court in which it was filed even if not filed in this MDL, and for use for the benefit of non-filed claims, including any for which a tolling agreement exists. All claims or cases of a counsel who has executed the Participation Agreement shall be assessed whether the claim or case has or had not been filed, and all claims or cases in which a counsel who has executed the Participation Agreement has a fee interest shall be assessed.
- As detailed above, this Court understands that the JCCP leadership for Individual 34. Plaintiffs intends to seek entry of a Parallel Common Benefit Order as soon as practicable that will apply to counsel with cases pending in the JCCP, including those not otherwise subject to this Order. This Court also recognizes, however, that court functions at the Los Angeles Superior Court are limited at this time due to the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic, and that it may be some time before a Parallel order is entered. In the meantime, if attorneys from the JCCP wish to access and submit time and expenses for Common Benefit Work—for example, document coding in the Joint Document Depository, or engage in other cooperative work—they may do so by signing the Participation Agreement, or by coordinating with the MDL PSC (and utilizing the Common Benefit Special Master as necessary) to reach interim agreements.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

35. Non-Participating Counsel, who do not execute the Participation Agreement and who are not deemed to have executed the Participation Agreement, or who are not subject to a Parallel common benefit order or interim agreement with the MDL PSC, shall have no right of access to the Common Benefit Work Product. However, in the event it is determined that such counsel in any fashion benefitted from the Common Benefit Work Product or the administrative functions of the PSCs, then all cases and claims of clients of such counsel, whether filed or not, shall be subject to the assessment described in this Order. It is deemed that the fair liquidated damages for such unauthorized use of the Common Benefit Work Product is equal to the assessment percentage(s) set by this Order. The Court will also consider an application by the MDL PSC for payment of its fees and costs to enforce this Order with respect to any unauthorized procurement or use of the Common Benefit Work Product.

36. The PSCs may periodically request that attorneys who are subject to the assessment provide a list of all cases filed, regardless of jurisdiction, and a list of all claims of clients represented or in which they have a fee interest whether the case is filed or not or on a tolling agreement or not, to facilitate the PSCs' ability to keep track of all cases and claims that are subject to the assessment. Further, all counsel with cases filed in or transferred to this Court, and those who sign the Participation Agreement, must comply with such a request within 30 days of the request.

ASSESSMENTS AND PAYMENTS INTO THE ACCOUNTS VI.

A. **Assessment Allocation**

- 37. Early Participating Counsel and Late Participating Counsel
- "Early Participating Counsel" include any counsel with cases filed in a Lead Action, or any counsel who enters into the Participation Agreement within 60 days of the entry of the Order or 60 days of their first case being docketed in a Coordinated Action, or any other jurisdiction working cooperatively with the Lead Actions (whichever date is later). For Early Participating Counsel, the assessment shall be a combined seven percent 7% (5% for fees and 2% percent for costs).
 - "Late Participating Counsel" include any counsel who enters into the b. - 11 -CMO NO. 5(A) Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

- c. Early Participating Counsel and Late Participating Counsel together are referred to as "Participating Counsel."
- 38. The MDL and (proposed) JCCP assessments represent a hold back pursuant to *In re Zyprexa Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 467 F.Supp.2d 256, 266 (2d. Cir. 2006), and shall not be altered in any way unless each of the following occurs: (1) the PSCs are consulted and provided an opportunity to be heard at a formally announced meeting prior to the filing of any motion to change the assessment amount; (2) the PSCs approve the proposed change to the assessment by a majority vote of each PSC; (3) a noticed motion (including notice to Defendants' Liaison Counsel) with an opportunity to be heard is granted by each Lead Action Court as to its respective common benefit order, (4) all Early and Late Participating Counsel receive emailed or mailed communication of such motion and (5) this Court, upon good cause shown, amends this Order. The PSCs agree and understand that JCCP Co-Lead Counsel for Public Entities and School Districts will be given opportunity to object in the event certain public entities do not agree to this assessment or an increase in assessments.

B. Calculating the Assessment

- 39. For any attorney subject to an assessment under the terms of this Order, the assessment is owed on the "Gross Monetary Recovery" on all of that attorney's cases or claims.
- 40. A Gross Monetary Recovery occurs when a plaintiff agrees or has agreed—for monetary consideration—to settle, compromise, dismiss, or reduce the amount of a claim (a "Settlement") or, with or without trial, recover a judgment for monetary damages or other monetary relief, including compensatory, and/or abatement costs and/or punitive damages (a

- 12 -

"Judgment"), with respect to any JUUL-related claims (individual or class), including but not limited to the private, public, or government entity plaintiffs (including cities, counties, school districts, Indian tribes, state attorney generals, and participating States).

- 41. The Gross Monetary Recovery:
 - a. Excludes court costs that are to be paid by Defendant(s); and,
 - b. Includes the present value of any fixed and certain payments to be made in the future, such as those that come about as a result of a structured settlement of a claim or payments for future abatement costs.

C. <u>Defendants' Obligations</u>

- 42. Defendants and their counsel shall not distribute any Settlement or Judgment proceeds to any counsel or plaintiff until after (1) Defendants' counsel notifies the MDL PSC Liaison Counsel Sarah London, JCCP Co-Lead Counsel, and the Common Benefit Special Master in writing of the existence of a settlement and the name of the individual plaintiff's attorney (without disclosing the amount of the settlement); (2) MDL PSC Liaison Counsel Sarah London consults JCCP Co-Lead Counsel if necessary to ascertain if the attorney or his/her firm is a firm subject to an assessment; and (3) the PSCs have advised Defendants' counsel in writing whether or not the individual plaintiff's attorney's cases are subject to an assessment and the amount (stated as a percentage of the recovery) of the assessment pursuant to this Order. Any of the Defendants' counsel shall be permitted to share this information with the MDL PSC Liaison Counsel and JCCP Co-Lead Counsel, who shall otherwise keep this information confidential.
- 43. For cases subject to an assessment, Defendants are directed to withhold an assessment from any and all amounts paid to plaintiffs and their counsel and to pay the assessment directly into the Accounts based on the allocations set forth in paragraph 37 above as a credit against the Settlement or Judgment. No orders of dismissal of any Plaintiff's claim, subject to this Order, shall be entered unless accompanied by a certificate of Plaintiff's and Defendants' counsel that the assessment, where applicable, will be withheld and will be deposited into the Accounts at the same time the settlement proceeds are paid to settling counsel. If for any reason the assessment is not or has not been so withheld, the Plaintiff and

- 44. Upon payment of the assessment into the Accounts, Defendants, the PSCs, and its individual members shall be released from any and all liability to any person, attorney, or claimant with respect to the assessment placed into the Accounts. Any person, attorney, or claimant allegedly aggrieved by an assessment pursuant to this Order shall seek recourse as against the Accounts only, provided however, that notice and an opportunity to be heard shall be given to both the Defendants and the PSCs.
- 45. This Order shall in no way be read to affect or otherwise encumber any Defendants' obligation to pay attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to fee shifting statutes, if any, that may apply in this case.

D. **Other Rights**

46. Nothing in this Order is intended to impair the attorney/client relationship or any contingency fee contract deemed lawful by the attorneys' respective bar rules and/or state court nor otherwise interfere with public entities' rights to, and exercise of, control in their respective cases.

VII. **COMMON BENEFIT WORK**

A. **Qualified Common Benefit Work Eligible for Reimbursement**

- 47. Only PSCs' counsel or their designees and Participating Counsel are eligible for reimbursement for time and efforts expended for the Common Benefit. Participating Counsel shall be eligible to seek reimbursement for time and efforts expended for Common Benefit Work, if said time and efforts are:
 - for the common benefit; a.
 - appropriately authorized; b.
 - timely submitted; c.
 - certified by a senior partner of the submitting firm each month attesting d. to the accuracy and correctness of the monthly submission; and,
 - approved by the Common Benefit Special Master, pursuant to her e. designated authority as set forth in a subsequent order. CMO NO. 5(A) Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

28

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

В. **Compensable Common Benefit Work Defined**

50. As the litigation progresses and Common Benefit Work Product is generated, Co-Lead Counsel of the MDL will assign their Participating Counsel with Common Benefit Work as

⁵ By making application for common benefit fees or costs, an attorney is automatically eligible to access Common Benefit Work Product₁outlined in the Participation Agreement_{NO. 5(A)} Case No. 3:19-md-02913-WHO

48. There is no guarantee that all of the time submitted by any firm will be compensated and the hourly rate for the work that is compensated is not guaranteed. If counsel is a member of either the MDL PSC or the JCCP PSC and fails to timely submit capital contributions as may be requested by Co-Lead Counsel in that Lead Action, such counsel and members of his/her firm shall not be allowed to submit common benefit time and expenses, or in the discretion of the Co-Lead Counsel for the Lead Actions, a submission may be made with an explanation of why the capital contributions stopped, and the PSCs with the guidance of the Common Benefit Special Master and the Courts, may, after review and consultation, for good cause, agree to an award that is substantially reduced.

49. All submissions and applications for common benefit fees and/or costs, whether made by counsel performing such work in the Lead Actions or in state courts, must comply with the procedures, requirements and guidelines of CMO-5, as amended, or the corresponding JCCP Order. Counsel performing common benefit work in courts other than the Lead Actions, who have not previously submitted their time and costs under CMO-5, shall have 45 days to do so from the date that counsel signs the Participation Agreement. However, submitted time must have been contemporaneously recorded, well-documented, and not reconstructed after the fact, and if not pre-authorized, show good cause for the late submission. Attorneys authorized to do work by Co-Lead Counsel in the Lead Actions shall submit their time to the Common Benefit Special Master (with copies to their respective Co-Leads of the MDL or JCCP) in the form of spreadsheets and documentation to be specified by the Common Benefit Special Master. If an attorney applies for common benefit fees or costs in this Court, all of the cases in which the attorney and/or his or her law firm are counsel of record are subject to the full assessment.⁵ This Court retains the discretion to amend or supplement CMO-5, and this Order, as necessary and appropriate to reflect ongoing developments in the litigation.

is necessary. In the MDL, Common Benefit Work shall include only work specifically assigned by the MDL Co-Lead Counsel, and Co-Chairs of the MDL Committees with the approval of the MDL Co-Lead Counsel.

4 | 5 | see 6 | Wo 7 | autil 8 | be 1 9 | Cor

- 51. The Court understands the JCCP leadership for Individual Plaintiffs intends to seek entry of a Parallel Common Benefit Order for the authority to assign Common Benefit Work in that proceeding, and encourages the Lead Actions to collaborate on the exercise of such authority to avoid the duplication of time and effort. Disputes between the MDL and JCCP shall be resolved by the Common Benefit Special Master in the first instance, and if necessary, by the Courts. More details regarding the role of the Common Benefit Special Master in resolving disputes will follow in a subsequent order.
 - 52. Time spent on unauthorized work will not be compensable.
 - 53. Duplicative work may not be approved for compensation.
- 54. The provisions of CMO-5, Section II(A) are incorporated herein as if set forth in their entirety.
- 55. Common Benefit Work includes all authorized work performed for the benefit of many plaintiffs in a JUUL case, including pre-trial matters, discovery, trial preparation, trial, a potential settlement process, and all other work that advances this litigation to conclusion. Common Benefit Work includes, but is not limited, to the following authorized activities: maintenance and working in the Joint Document Depository; factual investigation and research; legal research; conducting authorized discovery (e.g. reviewing, indexing, and coding documents); preparation of timelines/chronologies; drafting and filing pleadings, briefs, pre-trial motions and orders; preparation of deposition cuts that may be used in a case set for trial; preparation of the trial exhibits; assembly of the scientific articles; approved PSC activities; work of the MDL Discovery, Law and Briefing, and Science Committee Co-Chairs; other MDL committee work authorized by Co-Lead Counsel; expert development authorized by the Co-Chairs of the Science Committee or Co-Lead Counsel; authorized preparation for and participation at state and federal court hearings; preparation for and taking of depositions of

1	Defendants and third-party witnesses, and experts; and activities associated with preparation for			
2	trial and	the tr	rial of any cases de	esignated by the PSC.
3		C.	Common Bene	fit Timekeeping Protocols
4	5	56.	The provision of	CMO-5, Section II(B) is incorporated herein as if set forth in
5	their ent	tirety.		
6	VIII.	COM	IMON BENEFIT	EXPENSES
7		A.	Qualified Com	mon Benefit Expenses Eligible for Reimbursement
8	5	57.	In order to be eli	gible for reimbursement, expenses ("Common Benefit
9	Expenses") must meet the requirements set forth in CMO-5, Section II(C) which are			
10	incorporated herein as if set forth in their entirety. Specifically, said expenses must be:			
11	a. for the common benefit;			
12			b.	appropriately authorized, as set forth in this Order, CMO-5, and the Participation Agreement;
131415			c.	certified by a senior partner of the submitting firm each month attesting to the accuracy and correctness of the monthly submission; and,
151617			d.	approved by the Common Benefit Special Master pursuant to her designated authority as set forth in a subsequent order.
18		В.	Compensable (Common Expenses Defined
19	5	58.	Common Benefi	t Expenses shall include only authorized and necessary expenses
20	specifically approved by the MDL Co-Lead Counsel, or Co-Chairs of the MDL Committees wit			
21	the approval of the MDL Co-Lead Counsel. Expenses incurred by the MDL are also subject to			
22	the type and kind and limits of CMO-5. In the event of a dispute between the MDL and JCCP			
23	counsel as to an expense, it shall be submitted to the Common Benefit Special Master in the firs			
24	instance	, and	if necessary, ultim	nately resolved by the Courts.
25		C.	Common Bene	fit Expense Protocols
26	5	59.	The provision of	CMO-5 Section II(C), as amended is incorporated herein as if
27	set forth in their entirety.			

IX. FURTHER PROCEEDINGS AND CONTINUING JURISDICTION

- 60. The payment of attorneys' fees and expenses for any class action settlement or recovery is governed by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(h) or any analogous state court procedural rules. This Order is without prejudice to such other assessments of or awards of fees and costs as may be ordered by this Court under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(h) or any analogous state court procedural rules, the common benefit doctrine, or that may be provided by contract between attorneys and clients.
- 61. The intent of this Order is to establish, secure, and supervise a fund to promote the purposes and policies of the common benefit doctrine and provide a source for equitable payment of services rendered and costs incurred for the benefit of plaintiffs.
- 62. If all parties to a future settlement agree that exceptional circumstances warrant a departure from the holdback obligations, or other provisions of this Order, they shall submit affidavits thereon and request appropriate relief from the Court.
- 63. Any disputes or requests for relief from or modification of this Order will be decided by the Court in the exercise of its continuing jurisdiction over the parties, and authority and discretion under the common benefit doctrine.

18 DATED: May 27, 2020

HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK

IT IS SO ORDERED.

EXHIBIT A

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 37 of 85

	Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 5	86 Filed 05/27/20 Page 20 of 30	
1 2 3 4 5 6			
7 8 9 10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11 12 13	IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	Case No. 19-md-02913-WHO EXHIBIT A TO CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 5(A) ESTABLISHING A COMMON BENEFIT FEE AND	
14 15 16	This Document Relates to: ALL ACTIONS	EXPENSE FUND	
17	MDL 2913 COMMON BENEFIT	PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT	
18	THIS AGREEMENT is made this	day of, 20, by and	
19	between the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee	("PSC") appointed by the United States District	
20	Court for the Northern District	of California in MDL 2913 and	
21	[Na	ame of the Attorney and their Law Firm	
22	Executing the Agreement on behalf of then	nselves and their Law Firm] (the "Participating	
23	Counsel").		
24	WHEREAS, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California		
25	(MDL 2913) has appointed the following to serve as members of the PSC to facilitate the		
26	conduct of pretrial proceedings in the federal actions relating to the use, marketing, and sales		
27	of JUUL ("JUUL"):		
28			
		EXHIBIT A - CMO NO. 5(A)	

Attorney	Firm	Leadership		
Sarah London	Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein LLP	Co-lead counse Liaison/PSC		
Dean Kawamoto	Keller Rohrback LLP	Co-lead counsel/PSC		
Dena Sharp	Girard Sharp LLP	Co-lead counsel/PSC		
Ellen Relkin	Weitz & Luxenberg	Co-lead counsel/PSC		
Khaldoun Baghdadi	Walkup Melodia Kelly & Schoenberger	Fed/State court liaison/PSC		
Leslie LaMacchia	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC	Fed/State court liaison/PSC		
Thomas P. Cartmell	Wagstaff & Cartmell, LLP	Gov. Entity Liaison/PSC		
Adam Gutride	Gutride Safier LLP	PSC		
Esfand Nafisi	Migliaccio & Rathod LLP	PSC		
Michelle Drake	Berger Montague PC	PSC		
Joseph VanZandt	Beasley, Allen, Crow, Methvin, Portis & Miles	PSC		
Erin Dickinson	Crueger Dickinson LLC	PSC		
Michael Weinkowitz	Levin Sedran & Berman LLP	PSC		
Rachel Abrams	Levin Simes Abrams, LLP	PSC		
Emily Jeffcott	Morgan & Morgan	PSC		
Matt Schultz	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor	PSC		
Kristine Kraft	Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP	PSC		
Jonathan Gdanski	Schlesinger Law Offices	PSC		
Sabita Soneji	Tycko & Zavareei LLP	PSC		
Brad Honnold	Goza & Honnold LLC	PSC		
Mikal Watts	Watts Guerra LLP	PSC		

WHEREAS, the PSC in association with other attorneys working for the common benefit of plaintiffs have developed and are in the process of further developing work product and a record that will be valuable in all proceedings and benefits all plaintiffs alleging injuries or damage caused by JUUL ("Common Benefit Work Product");

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, Participating Counsel wants to acquire the Common Benefit Work Product and establish a framework for an amicable, working relationship with the PSC for the mutual benefit of their clients, and for those attorneys who perform work authorized, audited and approved as common benefit to seek common benefit compensation;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants and promises contained herein, and intending to be legally bound hereby, the parties agree as follows:

I. SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

A. <u>Purpose</u>

- 1. This Participation Agreement is a private cooperative agreement between plaintiffs' attorneys to share Common Benefit Work Product with regard to all injuries and damages arising from JUUL-related claims by Participating Counsel, pursuant to Case Management Order No. 5(a) Establishing Common Benefit Fee and Expense Fund ("CMO-5(a)" or any amendment). Plaintiffs' attorneys who execute this Participation Agreement are entitled to receive the Common Benefit Work Product created by those attorneys who have also executed or have been deemed to have executed the Participation Agreement.
- 2. The intent of this Participation Agreement is to establish, secure, and supervise a fund to promote the purposes and policies of the common benefit doctrine and provide a source for equitable payment of services rendered and costs incurred for the benefit of plaintiffs.
- 3. There is no need for an attorney who already has a case filed in or transferred to the MDL to sign the Participation Agreement, because they are automatically subject to the Common Benefit Orders CMO-5 and CMO-5(a), and any amendments with regard to all cases in which they have a fee interest, regardless of whether any of their other cases are filed in other jurisdictions, or not yet filed.
- 4. For those cases that have been transferred to the MDL, but are subject to a remand motion, if a case is determined to have been improperly removed to this Court after the Court's consideration of the remand motion and is remanded to the transferor court, that case will not automatically be subject to Common Benefit Assessment by virtue of it having been temporarily venued in this Court. However, if the case received and benefited from the work product of the MDL, it could be assessed, after due consideration by the Court following briefing by the parties and with input from the Common Benefit Special Master.
- 5. The PSC anticipates that this Court may enter a Coordination Order that contemplates work sharing between the PSC and counsel in other actions involving the same subject matter as the MDL pending in other courts that agree to be bound by the same

Coordination Order (the "Coordinated Actions"). Plaintiffs' attorneys with any cases filed in a Coordinated Action shall, to the extent they utilize the Common Benefit Work Product, be subject to and deemed to have signed this Participation Agreement for all cases they have filed in any jurisdiction, and all unfiled or if applicable, tolled cases.

B. Rights and Obligations of Participating Counsel

- 6. Upon execution of this Participation Agreement, the PSC will provide access to Participating Counsel the Common Benefit Work Product defined in the Court's CMO-5 and 5(a), and any amendments, including access to the document depository as well as all the coding and summarizing of the documents in the depository, access to all deposition transcripts and summaries, deposition cuts for the purpose of trial, medical literature library, legal briefing and research, and, as deemed appropriate by PSC leadership, expert witness work product. Participating Counsel agree that all JUUL cases, as defined in paragraph 9 below, in which Participating Counsel has a fee interest, including unfiled cases, tolled cases, and/or cases filed in state and/or federal court, are subject to the terms of this Participation Agreement.
- 7. The PSC may periodically request that Participating Counsel produce a list setting forth the name of each JUUL client represented by them (whether filed or unfiled) or in which they have any interest in an attorneys' fee, together with the Court and docket number (if filed) of each such case. Unless otherwise specified, Participating Counsel shall provide such a list within 30 days of a request to Sarah London, Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein LLP at JUULParticipatingCounselCaseList@lchb.com.

II. AGREEMENT TO PAY AN ASSESSMENT ON GROSS RECOVERY

8. Subject to the terms of this Participation Agreement and the terms of CMO-5 and 5(a), and any amendments, all Plaintiffs and their attorneys who either agree or have agreed—for a monetary consideration—to settle, compromise, dismiss, or reduce the amount of a claim or, with or without trial, recover a judgment for monetary damages or other monetary relief, including such compensatory and punitive damages, with respect to JUUL-related claims or cases are subject to an assessment of the gross settlement amount.

A. Gross Monetary Recovery Defined

9. "Gross Monetary Recovery" includes any and all amounts paid to Plaintiffs by Defendants through a settlement or pursuant to a judgment. Gross Monetary Recovery (a) excludes court costs that are to be paid by the defendant; and (b) includes the present value of any fixed and certain payments to be made in the future. The assessment shall apply to all of the claims or cases of the attorneys who are subject to CMO-5(a) that are pending in the MDL or state court as well as any unfiled or if applicable, tolled claims or cases of such attorneys in which they are counsel or co-counsel, or in which they have any interest.

B. Assessment Amount

- 10. Early Participation vs. Late Participation For any counsel who enters into the Participation Agreement within 60 days of the entry of CMO-5(a) or 60 days of their first case being docketed in any Coordinated Action or jurisdiction working cooperatively with the Lead Actions (MDL and JCCP) (whichever date is later), the assessment shall be a combined 7% as computed and determined below ("Early Participation"). For any counsel who enters into the Participation Agreement after 60 days of the entry of this Order or 60 days of their first case being docketed in any Coordinated Action or jurisdiction working cooperatively with the Lead Actions (MDL and JCCP) (whichever date is later), the assessment shall be a combined 10% as computed and determined below ("Late Participation"). Exceptions may be made for Public Entities and School Districts, who may require additional time and process for consultation and approval from certain California public entities, and such exceptions will allow for Early Participation assessment rates.
 - Early Participation The assessment amount for Early Participation Counsel shall be 7% (5% for common benefit attorneys' fees and 2% for costs).
 - b. Late Participation The assessment amount for Late
 Participation Counsel shall be 10% (8% for common benefit attorneys' fees and 2% for costs).
 - 11. This assessment represents a hold back pursuant to *In re Zyprexa Prods. Liab*.

C. Covered Claims or Cases

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12. Counsel who sign (or who are deemed to have signed) this Participation Agreement agree that the assessment shall apply to all unfiled claims or cases, tolled (if applicable) claims or cases, and claims or cases filed in other courts in which they have any fee interest. Covered claims or cases include all JUUL related claims or cases or actions brought by individuals or any private, public, or government entity plaintiffs (including Cities, Counties, School Districts, Indian Tribes and States).

D. Attorney Fee Lien

- 13. With respect to each client who they represent in connection with related claims or cases that are filed or pending in any court, unfiled or subject to a tolling agreement, consistent with CMO-5(a) establishing the common benefit JUUL Fee and JUUL Expense Accounts, each Participating Counsel agrees to have Defendants deposit or cause to be deposited into the JUUL Fee and JUUL Expense Accounts a percentage proportion of the gross amount recovered by each such client that is equal to the assessment amount.
- 14. In the event Defendants do not deposit such funds into the JUUL Fee and Expense Accounts, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Participating Counsel are required to so inform the

6

7

5

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

or cause to be deposited in the JUUL Fee and JUUL Expense Accounts the percentage proportion of the gross amount recovered by each such client that is equal to the assessment amount.

MDL Plaintiffs Liaison Counsel, Sarah London and JCCP Co-Lead Counsel, and shall deposit

- 15. Participating Counsel, on behalf of themselves, their affiliated counsel, and their clients, hereby grant the MDL PSC a lien upon and a security interest in any fee generated as a result of any recovery by any client who they represent in connection with any JUUL-induced injury, nuisance and marketing and sales practices, to the full extent permitted by law, in order to secure payment in accordance with the provisions of this Participation Agreement.
- 16. Participating Counsel will undertake all actions and execute all documents that are reasonably necessary to effectuate and perfect this lien and/or security interest.

III. **COMMON BENEFIT EXPENSES**

A. **Qualified Expenses Eligible for Reimbursement**

- In order to be eligible for reimbursement, expenses ("Common Benefit 17. Expenses") must meet the requirements set forth in CMO-5, Section II(C) which are incorporated herein as if set forth in their entirety. Specifically, said expenses must be:
 - for the common benefit;
 - appropriately authorized, as set forth in CMO-5 and CMO-5(a);
 - certified by a senior partner of the submitting firm each month attesting to the accuracy and correctness of the monthly submission; and,
 - d. approved by the Common Benefit Special Master pursuant to her designated authority as set forth in a subsequent order.

В. **Expense Reporting Protocol**

18. Participating Counsel must submit expenses consistent with CMO-5 and CMO-5(a) and any amendments thereto. Expenses incurred on matters common to all claimants in MDL 2913 and authorized by MDL Co-Lead Counsel, Co-Chairs of the MDL Committees with the approval of MDL Co-Lead Counsel may be submitted for reimbursement.

19. No costs spent on developing or processing individual issues in any case for an individual client will be considered or should be submitted, unless that case is an authorized bellwether trial case.

IV. COMMON BENEFIT WORK

A. Qualified Common Benefit Work Eligible for Reimbursement

- 20. In order to be eligible for reimbursement time and efforts expended for common benefit work must meet the requirements set forth in CMO-5 and CMO-5(a) and any amendments thereto.
- 21. Participating Counsel shall be eligible to seek reimbursement for time and efforts expended for Common Benefit Work, if said time and efforts are:
 - a. for the common benefit;
 - b. appropriately authorized;
 - c. timely submitted;
 - d. certified by a senior partner of the submitting firm each month attesting to the accuracy and correctness of the monthly submission; and,
 - e. approved by the Common Benefit Special Master, pursuant to her designated authority as set forth in a subsequent order.

B. Authorization

- 22. Time spent on matters common to all claimants in MDL 2913 must be assigned by MDL Co-Lead Counsel, or the co-Chairs of the MDL Committees with the approval of MDL Co-Lead Counsel to be eligible for consideration for common benefit. No time spent on developing or processing individual issues in any case for an individual client will be considered or should be submitted, nor will time spent on unauthorized and unapproved work.
- 23. For examples of authorized and unauthorized work please review CMO-5 and CMO 5(a) and any amendments thereto.

′

4

5 6

7

8 9

- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

C. **Common-Benefit Time Keeping Protocols**

- As set forth in CMO-5 and CMO-5(a) and any amendments thereto, all time 24. must be accurately and contemporaneously maintained. Participating Counsel agrees to follow the time-keeping protocols set forth in CMO-5 and CMO-5(a) and any amendments thereto.
- 25. Counsel performing common benefit work in courts other than the Lead Actions, who have not previously submitted their time and costs under CMO-5, shall have 45 days to do so from the date of signing the Participation Agreement. However, submitted time must have been contemporaneously recorded, well-documented, and not reconstructed after the fact, and if not pre-authorized, show good cause for the late submission.
- 26. Upon order of the Court, payments may be made from the JUUL Fee and JUUL Expense Accounts to Participating Counsel who provide services or incur expenses for the joint and common benefit of plaintiffs. Attorneys eligible are limited to the PSC, other MDL counsel called upon to assist in performing the PSC responsibilities, other Participating Counsel called upon by the MDL leadership to assist in performing their responsibilities, and JCCP counsel subject to a parallel common benefit order.
- 27. No Individual Rights to the Funds. No party or attorney has any individual right to any of funds from the assessment except to the extent of amounts directed to be disbursed to such person by Order of this Court. These funds will not constitute the property of any party or attorney or be subject to garnishment or attachment for the debts of any party or attorney except when and as directed to be disbursed as provided by court order to a specific person.
- 28. **Court Approval.** The amounts deposited in the JUUL Fee and JUUL Expense Accounts shall be available for distribution only to attorneys who have performed professional services or incurred expenses for the common benefit. The MDL Court retains jurisdiction over the common benefit award. Each Participating Counsel who does authorized common benefit work has the right to present their claim(s) for compensation prior to any recommendation to the Court.

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 46 of 85

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 586 Filed 05/27/20 Page 29 of 30

1	29. Other Fee Assessments. This Participation Agreement is without prejudice to		
2	such other assessments of or awards of fees and costs as may be ordered by any Court,		
3	including under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(h) or any analogous state court procedural		
4	rules, the common benefit doctrine, or that may be provided by contract between attorneys and		
5	clients.		
6	30. <u>Fee Committee</u> . Participating Counsel understands that at a later date the Lead		
7	Action Courts may appoint a Fee and Expense Committee to make recommendations on how		
8	funds in the JUUL Fee and JUUL Expense Accounts should be distributed.		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	- 9 -		
	EXHIBIT A - CMO NO. 5(A)		

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 47 of 85

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 586 Filed 05/27/20 Page 30 of 30

1 2	AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND			
3	MOREEMENT TO BE BOUND			
4				
5	Dated:, 20 Firm Name:			
6	Attorney's Name:			
7				
8	I hereby agree to be a Participating Counsel and certify that I am signing this			
9	Participation Agreement voluntarily. I also certify that I have the authority and power to			
10	bind my law firm into this Participation Agreement.			
11				
12	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS' STEERING COMMITTEE:			
13	Dated:, 20			
14	SARAH LONDON			
15	Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
2324				
25				
26				
27				
28				
_~	- 10 -			
	EXHIBIT A - CMO NO. 5(A)			

EXHIBIT C

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 49 of 85

	Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 1	90 Filed 03/15/23 Page 1 of 37	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6 7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY	Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR	
13	PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 3047	
14	This Document Relates to:	[PROPOSED] <i>REVISED</i> COMMON	
15	ALL ACTIONS	BENEFIT ORDER	
16			
17	In Case Management Order ("CMO")	No. 1 (ECF No. 75), the Court appointed Leadership	
18 19	for Plaintiffs. CMO No. 2 (ECF No. 82) gov	erns the responsibilities and operation of Plaintiffs'	
20	Leadership. In accordance with CMO No. 2,	the Court now sets specific guidelines and rules for	
21	work done and expenses incurred for the common benefit of all Plaintiffs in this MDL. This Order also provides for the fair and equitable sharing among Plaintiffs and their counsel of the burden of services performed and expenses incurred by attorneys acting for the common benefit of all		
22			
23			
24	Plaintiffs in this complex litigation. Nothing in this Order shall be interpreted to affect any		
25	proceedings other than those involving the authorities, duties, responsibilities, guidelines, and rules		
26	of and for Plaintiffs' counsel discussed herein		

27

28

This Order applies to:

- a. All cases or claims now or later subject to the jurisdiction of this Court in this MDL, regardless of whether the case is resolved while the case is pending before this Court, after a remand from this Court to the transferor court, or in bankruptcy;¹
- b. All cases or claims, filed or unfiled, in which any counsel associated with any one case filed in or transferred to this MDL has a fee interest;
- c. All cases or claims settled pursuant to an MDL-negotiated or supervised settlement agreement; and
- d. All cases or claims of clients of any counsel who signs the Participation Agreement as defined herein, whether the case was filed, unfiled or tolled.

I. <u>COMMON BENEFIT DOCTRINE</u>

The United States Supreme Court's common benefit doctrine was initially established in *Trustees v. Greenough*, 105 U.S. 527 (1881); was refined in, *inter alia*, *Central R.R. & Banking Co. v. Pettus*, 113 U.S. 116 (1885); *Sprague v. Ticonic Nat'l Bank*, 307 U.S. 161 (1939); *Mills v. Elec. Auto-Lite Co.*, 396 U.S. 375 (1970); and *Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert*, 444 U.S. 472 (1980); and was approved and implemented in the MDL context in, *inter alia*, *In re Air Crash Disaster at Florida Everglades on December 29*, 1972, 549 F.2d 1006, 1019-21 (5th Cir. 1977); and *In re MGM Grand Hotel Fire Litig.*, 660 F. Supp. 522, 525-29 (D. Nev. 1987). Common Benefit Work Product includes all work performed for the benefit of all claimants, including pre-trial matters, discovery, trial preparation, a potential settlement process, and all other work that advances this litigation to conclusion.

II. ADOPTION OF CASE MANAGEMENT PROTOCOLS FOR COMMON BENEFIT WORK

The Court hereby adopts the following guidelines for the management of case-staffing,

¹ If a case is determined to be improperly removed to this Court after the Court's consideration of a remand motion and is remanded to the transferor court, the case will not automatically be subject to assessment just by virtue of it having been temporarily venued in this Court. However, if the case received and benefited from the work product of the MDL, it could be assessed, after due consideration by the Court following briefing by the parties.

timekeeping, cost reimbursement, and related common benefit issues. The recovery of common benefit attorneys' fees and cost reimbursements will be limited to "Common-Benefit Counsel." "Common-Benefit Counsel" is defined as Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel, Liaison Counsel, Steering Committee Leadership and Membership (along with members and staff of their respective firms), and any other counsel authorized by Co-Lead Counsel to perform work that may be considered for common benefit compensation.

Eligibility does not pre-determine payment. Common-Benefit Counsel shall be eligible to receive common benefit attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs and expenses only if the time expended, costs incurred, and activity in question were (a) for the common benefit of Plaintiffs; (b) timely submitted; and (c) reasonable. Common-Benefit Counsel shall agree to the terms and conditions herein, including submitting to this Court's jurisdiction and agreeing that this Court has plenary authority regarding the award and allocation of common benefit attorneys' fees and expense reimbursements in this matter.

As directed in CMO No. 2, Co-Lead Counsel will be responsible for collecting monthly common benefit time and expense submissions from Common-Benefit Counsel; auditing such submissions, with the assistance of the Common Benefit Time and Expense Billing Manager and Auditor Amy Collins, PC ("Auditor"), see supra § II.G, for compliance with the directives set forth in this Order; informing Common-Benefit Counsel when their submissions do not comply with the directives set forth in this Order; and providing quarterly summaries of attorneys' fees and costs by timekeeper to the Court by email at ygrpo@cand.uscourts.gov six weeks following the end each quarter (e.g., May 12, 2023; August 11, 2023; November 10, 2023; etc.). Co-Lead Counsel's and the Auditor's auditing responsibility notwithstanding, the ultimate determination of what is compensable common benefit work, and the extent or rate at which it is compensable, is within the purview of the Court.

If Common-Benefit Counsel are unsure if the action they are about to undertake is considered Common Benefit Work, they shall ask Co-Lead Counsel in advance as to whether such time may be compensable.

A. Compensable Common Benefit Work

"Common Benefit Work" includes all work done and expenses incurred that inure to the common benefit of Plaintiffs in this MDL.

Guidelines regarding compensable and non-compensable work are set forth below.

- <u>Consolidated Pleadings</u>: (i) factual and legal research and preparation of consolidated master complaint(s) and short-form complaint(s); (ii) comments and suggestions regarding the consolidated master complaint(s); and (iii) presentation of argument before the Court regarding common factual or legal issues.
- **Briefing**: drafting and researching (i) dispositive and non-dipositive motions and responses thereto, including motions to dismiss, discovery motions, *Daubert* motions, and motions for summary judgment; (ii) status conference statements; and (iii) stipulations.
- Discovery and Document Review: Only discovery and document review authorized and assigned by Co-Lead Counsel to an attorney or law firm will be considered Common Benefit Work. If a firm/attorney elects to review documents that have not been assigned to them by Co-Lead Counsel, that review may not be considered Common Benefit Work. Descriptions associated with "document review" should contain sufficient detail to allow those reviewing the time entry to generally ascertain what was reviewed. For example, indicating the custodian(s), search topic(s), or number of documents reviewed is the kind of description needed. Likewise, time spent reviewing discovery requests and preparing responses for the benefit of counsel's own clients is not considered Common Benefit Work, unless it is at Co-Lead Counsel's direction and for a bellwether case after the case is selected as a bellwether.
- <u>Use of Contract Attorneys</u>: Contract attorneys may be used only with prior authorization of and for work authorized by Co-Lead Counsel. Such authorization should be included in the time submission for said attorneys. Generally this authorization will be limited to first-level document review and legal research. The terms of the compensation to contract attorneys engaged by Common-Benefit Counsel

(e.g., whether at actual cost or on a cost + multiplier basis) will be determined at the time of any Court approved disbursement of any recovery, if any.

- Depositions: Co-Lead Counsel shall exercise discretion, judgment, and prudence to designate only that number of attorneys to participate, in person or remotely as appropriate, in any given deposition that is commensurate with the nature of that deposition so as to avoid over-staffing. For attorneys not assigned to first-chair, second-chair, or defend a deposition, approval from Co-Lead Counsel should be sought beforehand, and included in the relevant time submission. Thus, for example, the deposition of a causation expert proffered by Defendants would typically justify the assignment of more attorneys than would the defense of the deposition of one of Plaintiffs' fact witnesses. Time and expenses for Common-Benefit Counsel not designated as one of the authorized questioners or otherwise authorized to attend the deposition by Co-Lead Counsel may not be considered Common Benefit Work but, rather, considered as attending on behalf of such counsel's individual clients. Unnecessary attendance by counsel may not be compensated in any fee application to the Court.
- <u>Bellwethers</u>: When authorized by Co-Lead Counsel, Common Benefit Work may include: (i) communication with clients for the purposes of identifying suitable bellwether candidates; (ii) factual and legal research necessary to select the appropriate bellwethers; and (iii) prosecution of the selected bellwether cases.
- Periodic MDL Status Conferences: The Court intends to hold periodic status conferences to ensure that the litigation moves forward efficiently, and that legal issues are resolved with guidance from or formal rulings by the Court. Individual attorneys are free to attend any status conference held in open court to stay up to date on the status of the litigation, but except for Co-Lead Counsel, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel, Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Leadership and their designees (including, to the extent authorized by Co-Lead Counsel, members of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Membership), attending and listening to such conferences is not compensable Common Benefit Work.

All attorneys have an obligation to keep themselves informed about the litigation so that they can best represent their respective clients. Mere attendance at a status conference will not be considered common benefit time, and expenses incurred in relation thereto will not be considered common benefit expenses. The attorneys designated by Co-Lead Counsel to address issues that will be raised at a given status conference or requested by Co-Lead Counsel to be present at a status conference are working for the common benefit, and their time will be considered for the common benefit. Similarly, any attorney whose attendance at a status conference is specifically requested by the Court (or by any other judge presiding over this matter or Court-appointed Special Master) to address a common issue may submit his or her time and expenses for such attendance for evaluation as Common Benefit Work.

- Expert-Related Work: If a Common-Benefit Counsel retains an expert without the knowledge and approval of Co-Lead Counsel, time and expenses attributable to the same may not be approved as Common Benefit Work. On the other hand, communications with and retention of experts with the knowledge and approval of Co-Lead Counsel will be considered common benefit time.
- Leadership Meetings or Calls: PSC members may submit common benefit time for participation in leadership communications and meetings that are germane to all members of the PSC and are necessary to fulfill their Court-appointed obligations. During leadership phone calls or other meetings there is a presumption that one participant per Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Membership firm, and two participants per Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Leadership firm, will qualify for common benefit time, . In addition, there is a presumption that additional counsel from PSC firms who are tasked in writing with assignments by Co-Lead Counsel or the Co-Chairs of Subcommittees may participate in Subcommitee calls relevant to those assignments.
- Attendance at Seminars: Except as approved by Co-Lead Counsel, attendance at seminars (e.g., American Association for Justice Section Meetings, Mass Torts Made Perfect, Harris Martin, and similar seminars and Continuing Legal Education programs)

Common Benefit Expenses.

Review of Court Filings and Orders: All attorneys have an obligation to keep

shall not qualify as Common Benefit Work, or the expenses pertaining thereto as

- Review of Court Filings and Orders: All attorneys have an obligation to keep themselves informed about the litigation so that they can best represent their respective clients, and review of briefs and filings made and Orders entered in this litigation is part of that obligation. Only Co-Lead Counsel, Liaison Counsel, Steering Committee Leadership and those attorneys working on assignments therefrom that require them to review, analyze, or summarize those filings or Orders in connection with their assignments are doing so for the common benefit. All other counsel are reviewing those filings and Orders for their own benefit and that of their respective clients and such review will not be considered Common Benefit Work.
- Emails and Correspondence: Except for Co-Lead Counsel, Liaison Counsel, Steering Committee Leadership, and their assigned attorneys and staff, time recorded for reviewing emails and other correspondence is not compensable unless germane to a specific task being performed by the receiving or sending attorney or party that is directly related to that email or other correspondence and that is for the common benefit of Plaintiffs. Thus, for example, review of an email or other correspondence sent to dozens of attorneys to keep them informed on a matter on which they are not specifically working would not be compensable as Common Benefit Work.
- Other Non-Compensable Work: The following types of work will not be compensated: leadership organization and applications prior to the appointment of leadership, time not authorized by Co-Lead Counsel, duplicative time, excessive amounts of time spent on a particular task, work performed by a person more senior than reasonably necessary for the task (which may not be compensated or may be compensated at a reduced rate), time spent organizing case files, time spent on internal firm management, and time spent preparing and reviewing time and expense submissions or responding to questions concerning time and expense submissions. Because all attorneys have an obligation to stay informed about the litigation so that

they can best represent their respective clients, review of emails and court filings, attendance at status conferences, participation in conference calls, and similar activities will not be considered Common Benefit Work, unless authorized in advance by Co-Lead Counsel in furtherance of a specific common benefit objective.

B. Common Benefit Timekeeping Protocols

All time must be accurately and contemporaneously maintained. Common-Benefit Counsel shall keep contemporaneous billing records of the time spent in connection with Common Benefit Work on this MDL, indicating with specificity the hours (in tenth-of-an-hour increments) and billing rate, along with a description of the particular activity (such as "conducted deposition of John Doe"). Descriptions must bear sufficient detail to identify the precise task and how it relates to Common Benefit Work. Individuals identified in time descriptions must be described by at least their first initial and last name, not by initials. "John Doe" is preferred; "J. Doe" is acceptable; and "JD" is unacceptable.

When referring to a specific plaintiff within the time description, the term "plaintiff" should be placed prior to the full name or first initial & full last name. Likewise, when referring to an expert or consultant within the time description, the term "expert" or "consultant" should be placed prior to the full name or first initial & full last name.

Each time entry must be categorized using one of the categories in Exhibit A. In general, when possible, a more specific category should be used in place of a more general category. Under no circumstances should a submitting firm make up new categories for use in its submission.

While the categories are generally self-explanatory, below are some further explanations of some of the categories that may have the potential for the most confusion.

Leadership Case Management Duties (1) – This category code should only be used for work done by Court-appointed Counsel and their assigned attorneys and staff, in their capacity as Court-appointed Counsel. This category should be used primarily for Court-appointed Counsel's more general or administrative responsibilities that do not fit into other, more specific categories. These include, but are not limited to, reviewing, analyzing, and summarizing filings and orders, and coordinating and designating non-

Court-appointed attorneys to conduct common-benefit tasks such as document reviews, depositions, or work with experts. This category should not be used by any timekeeper who is not a Court-appointed Counsel or one of their assigned attorneys or staff.

- Administrative (3) This category should be used for internal filing and organizational tasks, such as reviewing and downloading documents from the ECF case docket(s), creating charts, reviewing filings generally, updating calendars, copying and distributing documents, etc., whether done by an attorney or staff. Please remember that the review of filings and orders to stay informed about the litigation is every attorney's obligation, and time spent on such tasks is not compensable as Common Benefit Work for most timekeepers.
- Research (6) This category should not be used if a more specific category can be used instead. If research is done while writing a brief, that should be clearly indicated in the time description and coded as category 5 (Pleadings/Briefs/Pre-trial Motions/Legal Memoranda). Since most research will likely be done in service of a legal writing, court appearance, discovery, or other specific task (and thus should be coded with the appropriate category for the specific task), category 6 should be used relatively infrequently.
- **Discovery** (9) Almost all common benefit discovery-related tasks should be coded with this category. The exceptions are: document review (which should be coded category 8), discovery-related motions or briefs (which should be coded category 5), and discovery-related court appearances (which should be category 4).
- Document Review and Analysis (8) For the purposes of this category, the word "document" specifically means documents or other information produced in discovery. In other words, this category is not to be used for every instance of reading a document—it is more specific than that. Only discovery document review specifically authorized by Co-Lead Counsel and assigned to an attorney will be considered Common Benefit Work. Time entry descriptions for document review tasks should include specific details such as custodians, search topic(s), number of documents reviewed, or

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 other similar details sufficient to explain and justify the time spent.

- **Litigation Strategy & Analysis (7)** This is a general category that should not be used if a more specific category can be used instead. Examples of work coded to this category would include internal firm strategy sessions regarding division/completion of work assigned by Co-Leads or internal correspondence regarding same.
- Pleadings/Briefs/Pre-trial Motions/Legal Memoranda (5) All research and drafting time spent for a specific pleading, brief, motion, or similar legal writing should be coded in this category.
- Trial (13) This category is reserved solely for tasks performed during a bellwether or other trial designated by the Co-Leads as a Common Benefit trial.
- Miscellaneous (15) This is a general category that should not be used if a more specific category can be used instead. Any activities that are done in connection with or as part of a larger task like a brief, or a court appearance, or a meeting, should be categorized according to that larger task. This category should be used relatively infrequently; however, if it is used, it is critical that the description of the task be sufficiently detailed to make clear how the work was common benefit.

No time entry should contain the description of Common Benefit Work for more than one category. If on the same day the timekeeper performs two tasks that fall into two different categories, then there should be two separate entries for that timekeeper on that date, each with the appropriate category code.

C. **Hourly Rates**

Common-Benefit Counsel shall record their then-present hourly rates for all attorneys and staff. Counsel shall not bill a rate other than their standard rates at the time the work is performed. Use of these rates does not guarantee their payment.

D. **Document Review Platform**

Co-Lead Counsel has or will put out for bid any vendor services and strive to get the best services for the best price without sacrificing quality. A document review platform and analysis system will be used to avoid unnecessary expenses and procedures will be put in place to monitor

how much time is spent analyzing documents and to monitor the efficiency and quality of analysis by other firms.

E. <u>Common Benefit Expenses Protocol</u>

1. Shared Costs

"Shared Costs" are costs that will be paid out of the Litigation Fund ("Fund") administered by Co-Lead Counsel. Each member of the Plaintiffs' Leadership shall contribute to the Fund at times and in amounts sufficient to cover Plaintiffs' expenses for the administration of this MDL. The timing and amount of each assessment will be determined by Co-Lead Counsel and each assessment will be paid within 30 days as instructed by Co-Lead Counsel. Failure to pay assessments will be grounds for removal from the appointments made in previous Court Orders or other common benefit assignments.

Shared Costs are costs incurred for the common benefit of Plaintiffs in this MDL as a whole, including costs for bellwethers once set for trial. All Shared Costs must be approved in writing by Co-Lead Counsel prior to payment.

All costs that meet these requirements and fall under the following categories shall be considered Shared Costs and qualify for submission and payment directly from the Fund:

- Court, filing, and service costs related to common issues;
- Court reporter, videographer, and interpreter costs for depositions;
- Document (both electronic and hard copy) depository creation, operation, staffing, equipment, and administration;
- Document and case analysis software used for the common benefit of a substantial number of cases and approved by Co-Lead Counsel;
- Extraordinary administration costs incurred by Plaintiffs' Leadership (e.g., expenses for equipment, technology, conference rooms, etc.), approved by Co-Lead Counsel;
- Legal, tax, and accountant fees relating to the Fund;

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

9

7

10

1112

1314

1516

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

- Expert witness and consultant fees and expenses for experts whose opinions and testimony would be generic and for the common benefit of a substantial number of cases. There shall be no reimbursement for case-specific experts except with the approval of Co-Lead Counsel;
- Extraordinary printing, copying, coding, and scanning costs incurred by Plaintiffs' Leadership related to the above, approved by Co-Lead Counsel;
- Research by outside third-party vendors/consultants/attorneys, approved by Co-Lead Counsel;
- Translation costs related to the above, approved by Co-Lead Counsel;
- Bank or financial institution charges relating to the Fund;
- Investigative services, approved by Co-Lead Counsel; and
- Common Benefit Time and Expense Billing Manager and Auditor invoices.

Co-Lead Counsel shall prepare and be responsible for distributing reimbursement procedures and the forms associated therewith. Shared Costs should not be included on the monthly expense report. Requests for payments from the Fund for Common Benefit expenses shall include sufficient information to permit Co-Lead Counsel and a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") to account properly for costs and to provide adequate detail to the Court if necessary.

2. Held Costs

"Held Costs" are those that will be carried by each attorney in this MDL and reimbursed as and when Co-Lead Counsel determines to do so. Held Costs are those that do not fall into the above Shared Costs categories but are incurred for the common benefit of all Plaintiffs in this MDL, including costs of bellwethers once set for trial. Held Costs shall be recorded in accordance with the guidelines set forth herein and on the form provided as Exhibit B hereto.

Held Costs shall be subject to the following limitations:

a. <u>Travel Limitations</u>

Only reasonable travel expenses will be reimbursed. Except in unusual circumstances approved by Co-Lead Counsel, all travel reimbursements are subject to the following limitations:

• Airfare: For routine domestic flights, ordinarily only the price of a refundable,

27

28

changeable and convenient coach fare seat or its equivalent will be reimbursed. For international travel, business class, or if business class is not available, first class, is reimbursable.

- <u>Hotel</u>: Hotel room charges for the average available room rate of a reasonable business hotel will be reimbursed.
- Meals: Meal expenses must be reasonable. Unusually large meal expenses may be reviewed by Co-Lead Counsel and disallowed. Alcohol expenses are not reimburseable.
- <u>Cash Expenses</u>: Miscellaneous cash expenses for which receipts generally are not available (e.g., tips, luggage handling) will be reimbursed up to \$50.00 per trip, as long as the expenses are properly itemized.
- <u>Automobile Rental</u>: Automobile rentals must be reasonable for the date and location of the rental.
- Mileage: Mileage claims must be documented by stating origination point, destination, and total actual miles for each trip. The rate will be the maximum rate allowed by the Internal Revenue Service.

b. <u>Non-Travel Limitations</u>

- Shipping, Overnight, Courier, and Delivery Charges: All claimed Common Benefit shipping, overnight, courier, or delivery expenses must be documented with bills showing the sender, origin of the package, recipient, and destination of the package. Such charges are to be reported at actual cost.
- Postage Charges: Common Benefit postage charges are to be reported at actual cost.
- <u>In-House Photocopy</u>: The maximum charge for Common Benefit in-house copies is \$0.20 per black and white page, and \$1.00 per color page.
- Computerized Research-Lexis, Westlaw, or Bloomberg: Claims for Lexis, Westlaw,
 Bloomberg, or other computerized legal research expenses should be in the actual
 amount charged to the firm and appropriately allocated for these research services.

No entry should contain more than one category of expense when practical, and no entry should have more than one expense category code assigned to it. If, on the same day, one person

incurs two expenses that fall into two different categories, then there should be two separate entries for that person for that date, each with the appropriate expense description and category code. Similarly, when practical, no listed expense entry should include expenses incurred by more than one person. If multiple people incur the same expense for the same category, then generally there should be a separate entry for each person, unless a single person paid the expense for multiple people.

Every expense entry should be as detailed and specific as reasonably practical. Descriptions such as "Filing and Service Fees," "Service of Process," "Plane Ticket," "Investigation Fees," "Hearing Transcript," and "Deposition Services" are not sufficient. Every entry must describe the task for which the expense was incurred in enough detail to reasonably identify what the expense was, who incurred it, why it was incurred, and how it related to Common Benefit Work. For example: What was filed? Who was served with what document? What hearing transcript was requested and for what purpose? For whom was the plane ticket purchased, for air travel from where to where, for what purpose, and on what dates of travel? (The same goes for hotels, taxis, car services, tips, meals, and any other travel-related expenses.) Expense entries without sufficient detail may be rejected at Co-Lead Counsel's discretion.

Attorneys shall provide receipts for all expenses. This does not mean that receipts are to be provided "upon request"—it means each firm must provide receipts monthly along with their expense submissions, in PDF form, not hard copy. Credit card receipts or sufficiently specific entries on monthly statements are an appropriate form of verification. The description of unclaimed expenses may be redacted.

F. Protocols for Submission of Time and Expenses

1. Format

For Co-Lead Counsel to maintain all time submissions in a fully sortable and searchable format, all the time and expense submissions must be provided by submitting counsel in the following format:

1. Counsel must use files formatted consistent with the Exhibits to this Order.

- 2. In the "Monthly Time Report" and the "Monthly Expense Report," the person who performed each task should be identified in the column called "Last Name, First Name" by their complete last name, a comma, and their complete first name (e.g. Smith, John). Please do not use abbreviations or initials in this column.
- 3. In all reports, the date must be provided in month/day/year format (e.g., 12/01/2022).

2. Deadlines

All time and expense submissions must be timely submitted to Co-Lead Counsel and the Auditor by the 20th day of each month, in accordance with the guideline set forth herein, using the following email address: MDL3047Time@amylcollinspc.com. If the 20th day of the month falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, time and expense submissions must be submitted the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. The first submission is due on April 20, 2023, and should include all time and expense from inception through March 31, 2023. Lead Counsel and the Auditor will determine prior to the time of allocation whether pre-MDL formation time or costs submitted warrant inclusion as common benefit work. After this first submission, each monthly submission should include all common benefit time and expenses incurred from the first to the last day of the preceding month (e.g. the submission due May 22, 2023 should contain all common benefit time and expenses incurred from April 1, 2023 through April 30, 2023).

Although counsel should endeavor to submit all common benefit expenses incurred in a certain month in the submission made on the 20th of the next month, the realities of third-party billing and credit card statement schedules may make such quick expense submission difficult in some circumstances. Thus submissions of "supplemental" common benefit expense reports will be permitted for those expenses incurred during the previous six months that—because of circumstances outside the submitting counsel's control—could not have been submitted by the deadline. Any common benefit expenses submitted more than six months in arrears may not be considered or included in any compilation of common benefit expense calculation and may be disallowed, except for good cause shown and with approval of Co-Lead Counsel.

Supplemental submissions of common benefit time will be permitted only for good cause

shown and with the approval of Co-Lead Counsel.

G.

1

2

3 4 5 6 7

8 9 10

12

11

14

13

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel have retained Amy Collins, PC, to serve as Common Benefit

Auditing Time and Expense Submissions

Time and Expense Billing Manager and Auditor for common benefit time and expenses submitted for work performed in this MDL. The Auditor will contemporaneously review all MDL submitted common benefit time and expenses for compliance with this Order and identify those entries which are not compliant or otherwise appear not to be reasonable. Co-Lead Counsel shall determine if time and expenses identified as potentially not compensable or reasonable should be disallowed, and notify (through the Auditor or directly) the firm/person who submitted the time/expense of their determination. Co-Lead Counsel will endeavor to provide such notification on a rolling basis and may allow the firm/person an opportunity to correct the problem if appropriate. However, nothing in this Order shall be construed to mean that time entries that are not identified by the Auditor or Co-Lead Counsel as not compliant, not reasonable, or not compensable in the rolling review process are thereby deemed compliant, reasonable, or compensable. The Auditor also will assist Co-Lead Counsel with quarterly submissions to the Court. The Auditor shall be paid from the Fund as a Shared Expense.

1. **Immunity**

The Auditor, including any person acting as assistant or consultant to the Auditor in relation to her responsibility under this Order, shall enjoy the same protections from being compelled to give testimony and from liability for damages as those enjoyed by other federal judicial adjuncts performing similar functions. Specifically, the Auditor and the Auditor's staff working on this matter are protected by absolute quasi-judicial immunity. Co-Lead Counsel will make readily available to the Auditor any and all facilities, files, database, and documents that may be necessary to fulfill her functions.

2. **Indemnity**

In the event of any future settlements or verdicts resulting in the creation of a Qualified Settlement Fund (QSF), the Auditor shall be indemnified and held harmless by the QSF and the attorney claimants seeking payment from the QSF from any claims made by any alleged lien holder,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or other person or entity that attempts to assert a right of payment, reimbursement or garnishment against the Fund. Should the Auditor be named as a party to, or threatened to be made a party to, or named as a witness in, any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit, or proceeding of any kind, whether civil, administrative, or arbitrative, and whether brought by or against or otherwise involving the Fund, by reason of the Auditor having served in any capacity on behalf of the Fund, the Auditor shall be indemnified and held harmless by the QSF and the attorney claimants seeking payments from the QSF against reasonable expenses, costs and fees (including attorneys' fees), judgment, awards, costs, amounts paid in settlement, and liabilities of all kinds incurred by the Auditor in connection with or resulting from such actual or threatened action, suit or proceeding; except to the extent that it is finally determined by this Court that the Auditor was grossly negligent or acted with willful misconduct in connection with the administration of this Fund.

III. PLAINTIFFS' SOCIAL MEDIA FEE AND EXPENSE ACCOUNTS

Co-Lead Counsel is directed to establish two bank accounts (the "Accounts") to receive and disperse funds in the event of settlements or verdicts as provided in this Order and any subsequent Orders. The first account shall be designated as the "Social Media Fee Account" and the second should be designated as "Social Media Expense Account" respectively. The Accounts will be held subject to the direction of this Court.

Administration of the Social Media Fee and Expense Accounts A.

Co-Lead Counsel shall identify a Certified Public Accountant to serve as "Administrator" to be appointed by a separate Order who will be directed to oversee the Accounts. The Accounts shall be established at a commercial bank that the Administrator shall select in consultation with the Co-Lead Counsel. The commercial bank shall be the "Escrow Agent." No disbursement shall be made from the Accounts other than by Order of this Court pursuant to a petition requesting an award of fees and reimbursement of expenses (a "Petition"). No Petition shall be filed without leave of Court. No person or entity has any right to make any claim against any of the amounts held in the Accounts except to the extent this Court issues an Order directing the disbursement of any amounts to such a person or entity. The rights of any such person or entity are limited to the amount ordered by the Court to be so disbursed to that particular person or entity.

The amounts held in the Accounts shall not constitute the separate property of any person or entity or be subject to garnishment or attachment for the debts of any person or entity. However, any specific amounts ordered by the Court to be disbursed to a person or entity, upon the entry of such an Order, can then be subject to garnishment or attachment, limited to the amount of the disbursement so ordered. These limitations do not preclude a person or entity from transferring, assigning, or creating a security interest in potential disbursements from the Accounts to which such person or entity may be entitled as determined by the Court, if permitted by applicable state laws and if subject to the conditions and contingencies of this Order. However, no notice of lien or security interest in potential disbursements or of a transfer or assignment of a right of potential disbursements shall be effective unless and until it is filed in this Court and served upon the Administrator.

In connection with the administrative services, the Administrator shall:

- a. Have all such power and authority over the Accounts as necessary or convenient to exercise the authority granted in this Order;
- b. Keep and report periodically to the Court, to the extent requested by the Court, an accounting of the funds received, maintained and disbursed relating to the Accounts;
- c. Have the authority to instruct the Escrow Agent with respect to permitted investments of the Accounts;
- d. Make decisions and take action with respect to treatment of the Accounts for purposes of compliance with the Internal Revenue Code and any applicable local or state tax codes, including creating reports, maintaining and reporting relating to the Accounts and their income, if any, derived therefrom, and as in a Qualified Settlement Fund or such other entity as the Administrator deems appropriate;
- e. Out of the assets of the Accounts, purchase and maintain reasonable amounts and types of insurance for errors and omissions or fidelity bonds;

f. Have the authority to procure, upon consultation with the Co-Lead Counsel, professional accounting, legal and other services for the purposes of carrying out the tasks described in this Order, and to be reimbursed for the expenses of such services; and,

g. Have the authority to adopt and implement reasonable procedures consistent with this Order and in consultation with the Co-Lead Counsel.

Unless otherwise agreed to by Defendants and the Co-Lead Counsel, details of any individual settlement agreement, individual settlement amount, and individual amounts deposited into the Accounts shall be treated as confidential by the Administrator and shall not be disclosed by the Administrator to the Co-Lead Counsel, the Court, or the Court's designee, unless the Court requests that it receive that information *in camera*. The Administrator shall, however, on a quarterly basis provide statements to the Co-Lead Counsel and the Courts showing only the aggregate of the quarterly deposits, disbursements, interest earned, financial institution charges, if any, and current balance.

B. Requirements of the Escrow Agent

The Escrow Agent shall be a commercial bank that: (1) has deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; (2) is organized under the laws of the United States or any state thereof; and (3) has a total risk-based capital in excess of \$5 billion and meets the minimum risk-based ratios established under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991. The Escrow Agent may act as paying agent, depository, custodian or trustee with respect to funds it holds.

The Administrator shall consider, in designating the Escrow Agent and in procuring professional services, the charges that the Escrow Agent or provider of professional services will impose for its actions and the ability of the Escrow Agent or provider of professional services to undertake the tasks called for with efficiency and responsiveness.

The Escrow Agent shall not acquire or hold for longer than 90 days, any debt securities, certificates or investments unless such instruments are a U.S. Treasury Bill, U.S. Treasury Money Market, U.S. Government Money Market or similar type of account guaranteed by the United States or an agency thereof, including an FDIC-Insured Account. The U.S. Treasury Money Market or

8 9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

U.S. Government Money Market must be registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. In determining investments to be held by the Escrow Agent, primary regard shall be given by the Escrow Agent to safety of principal.

The reasonable fees and reasonable expenses of the Administrator and Escrow Agent shall be paid by the Co-Lead Counsel. The Administrator and Escrow Agent shall each provide to Co-Lead Counsel their statements for their reasonable fees and reasonable expenses charged on a monthly basis. When this Court authorizes the filing of a Petition, the reasonable fees and expenses of the Administrator and Escrow Agent that were paid by Co-Lead Counsel may be included as items for reimbursement from the Accounts. The Petition shall include copies of the statements of the Administrator and Escrow Agent that had been submitted on a monthly basis to Co-Lead Counsel to support the request for reimbursement of such payments made by Co-Lead Counsel for which reimbursement is requested.

IV. PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT AND ELIGIBLE PARTICIPATING COUNSEL

An agreement attached hereto as Exhibit C (the "Participation Agreement") is approved by this Court for signature by attorneys for the purposes set forth below. The Participation Agreement can be entered into by plaintiffs' attorneys on a voluntary basis. The Participation Agreement is a private and cooperative agreement between Co-Lead Counsel and plaintiffs' attorneys only. It is not an agreement with any Defendants.

There is no need for an attorney who already has a case filed in or transferred to this Court to sign the Participation Agreement, because they are automatically subject to this Order with regard to all cases and any amendments (unless they met the criteria of a remand for wrongful removal as set forth in footnote 1) in which they have a fee interest, regardless of whether any of their other cases are filed in other jurisdictions, or not yet filed.

Plaintiffs' attorneys who execute the Participation Agreement are hereinafter referred to as "Participating Counsel." Plaintiffs' attorneys who do not execute the Participation Agreement and who are not deemed signatories to the Participation Agreement, or are otherwise not bound to common benefit assessments pursuant this Order and any amendments are hereinafter referred to as "Non-Participating Counsel."

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

V.

Assessment Allocation A.

27 28

For Participating Counsel, the assessment shall be a combined 10% for fees and costs, with the appropriate division between fees and costs to be proposed by Co-Lead Counsel and approved

ASSESSMENTS AND PAYMENTS INTO THE ACCOUNTS

Participating Counsel who execute the Participation Agreement shall be entitled access to the Common Benefit Work Product for use in all of the cases or claims of their clients, whether the case or claim has been filed or not, and if filed, for use in any court in which it was filed even if not filed in this MDL, and for use for the benefit of non-filed claims, including any for which a tolling agreement exists. All claims or cases of a counsel who has executed the Participation Agreement shall be assessed whether the claim or case has or has not been filed, and all claims or cases in which a counsel who has executed the Participation Agreement has a fee interest shall be assessed.

Non-Participating Counsel who do not execute the Participation Agreement and who are not deemed to have executed the Participation Agreement shall have no right of access to the Common Benefit Work Product. However, in the event it is determined that such counsel in any fashion benefitted from the Common Benefit Work Product or the administrative functions of the Plaintiffs' Leadership, then all cases and claims of clients of such counsel, whether filed or not, shall be subject to the assessment described in this Order. It is deemed that the fair liquidated damages for such unauthorized use of the Common Benefit Work Product is equal to the assessment percentage(s) set by this Order. The Court will also consider an application by the Co-Lead Counsel for payment of its fees and costs to enforce this Order with respect to any unauthorized procurement or use of the Common Benefit Work Product.

Co-Lead Counsel may periodically request that attorneys who are subject to the assessment provide a list of all cases filed, regardless of jurisdiction, and a list of all claims of clients represented or in which they have a fee interest whether the case is filed or not or on a tolling agreement or not, to facilitate the Co-Lead Counsels' ability to keep track of all cases and claims that are subject to the assessment. Further, all counsel with cases filed in or transferred to this Court, and those who sign the Participation Agreement, must comply with such a request within 30 days of the request.

by the Court prior to any disbursement from the Accounts. The portion of the 10% allocated to fees shall be paid entirely out of attorney's fees. The MDL assessment represents a hold back pursuant to *In re Zyprexa Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 467 F. Supp. 2d 256, 266 (2d. Cir. 2006). The Co-Leads may adjust the 10% up or down as appropriate, subject to Court approval.

B. Calculating the Assessment

For any attorney subject to an assessment under the terms of this Order, the assessment is owed on the "Gross Monetary Recovery" on all of that attorney's cases or claims. A Gross Monetary Recovery occurs when a plaintiff agrees or has agreed—for monetary consideration—to settle, compromise, dismiss, or reduce the amount of a claim (a "Settlement") or, with or without trial, recover a judgment for monetary damages or other monetary relief, including compensatory damages and/or abatement costs and/or punitive damages (a "Judgment"), with respect to any Social Media-related claims. The Gross Monetary Recovery excludes court costs that are to be paid by Defendant(s) and includes the present value of any fixed and certain payments to be made in the future, such as those that come about as a result of a structured settlement of a claim.

C. <u>Defendants' Obligations</u>

Defendants and their counsel shall not distribute any Settlement or Judgment proceeds to any counsel or plaintiff until after (1) Defendants' counsel notifies Co-Lead Counsel in writing of the existence of a settlement and the name of the individual plaintiff's attorney (without disclosing the amount of the settlement); and (2) Co-Lead Counsel have advised Defendants' counsel in writing whether or not the individual plaintiff's attorney's cases are subject to an assessment pursuant to this Order.

For cases subject to an assessment, Defendants are directed to withhold an assessment from any and all amounts paid to plaintiffs and their counsel and to confidentially pay the assessment directly into the Accounts based on the allocations set forth in Section V.A above as a credit against the Settlement or Judgment. Counsel for each Defendant must provide quarterly notice to the Administrator, who will share the notice with Co-Lead Counsel, of the names and docket numbers of the cases for which it has paid an assessment into the Funds since the last such report. A report is not due if there are no payments made into the Funds by that Defendant during that quarter.

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 71 of 85

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 190 Filed 03/15/23 Page 23 of 37

Details of any individual settlement agreement, individual settlement amount and individual amounts deposited into escrow will be confidential and must not be disclosed by the Administrator to anyone, except to the Court upon its request. Quarterly statements from the Administrator, however, will be provided to Co-Lead Counsel, and to the Court if it so requests, showing only the aggregate of the quarterly deposits, disbursements, interest earned, financial institution charges, if any, and current balance.

This Order shall in no way be read to affect or otherwise encumber any Defendants' obligation to pay attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to fee shifting statutes, if any, that may apply in this case.

D. Other Rights

Nothing in this Order is intended to impair the attorney/client relationship or any contingency fee contract deemed lawful by the attorneys' respective bar rules and/or state court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 15, 2023

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

[PROPOSED] COMMON BENEFIT ORDER CASE NO. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 72 of 85
Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 190 Filed 03/15/23 Page 24 of 37

EXHIBIT A

MONTHLY TIME REPORT SOCIAL MEDIA MDL

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 190 Filed 03/15/23 Page 25 of 37

Firm Name: Date:

Categories: 1. Leadership Case Management Duties 2. Leadership calls/meetings 3. Admin 4. Court appearances 5. Pleadings/Briefing 6. Research 7. Litigation strategy 8. Doc review & analysis 9. Discovery 10. Experts 11. Settlement 12. Trial prep 13. Trial 14. Appeal 15. Miscellaneous

Fees Total
Time Spent (by 0.1 increments)
Billing Rate
Co-Lead Counsel Who Time Spe Assigned Or Approved Billing Rate (by 0.1
Detailed Description of Work Performed
Category
Date of Service
Professional Level: Partner (PT), Associate (A), Contract (C), Of Counsel (OC), or Paralegal (PR)
Professional Level: Partner (PT), Associate (A), Contract (C), Date of Service Of Counsel (OC), or Paralegal (PR)

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 190 Filed 03/15/23 Page 26 of 37

EXHIBIT B

SOCIAL MEDIA MDL MONTHLY EXPENSE REPORT

Filed 03/15/23 Page 27 of 37

Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 190

Firm Name:	Date:	

Travel 10. Deposition Costs 11. Lexis/Westlaw 12. Court Fees 13. Witness / Expert Fees 14. Investigation Fees / Service Fees 15. Transcripts 16. Ground Transportation (i.e. Rental, Categories: 1. Assessment Fees 2. Federal Express / Local Courier, etc. 3. Postage Charges 4. In-House Photocopying 5. Outside Photocopying 6. Hotels 7. Meals 8. Mileage 9. Air Taxis, etc.) 17. Miscellaneous (Describe)

Receipt Provided: Yes/No (if no, provide reason)
Amount
Co-Lead Counsel Who Assigned Or Approved Work
Detailed Description
Category
Date
Last Name, First Name

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 76 of 85 Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 190 Filed 03/15/23 Page 28 of 37

EXHIBIT C

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 77 of 85

	Case 4:22-md-03047-YGR Document 2	L90 Filed 03/15/23 Page 29 of 37	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Th March Carl and	NA PARENTA COATE	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	IN DE, COCIAI MEDIA ADOLECCENT	Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR	
11	IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 3047	
12		MDL No. 3047	
13	This Document Relates to:	COMMON BENEFIT ORDER, EXHIBIT C	
14 15	ALL ACTIONS		
16			
17	MDL 3047 COMMON BENEF	FIT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT	
18	THIS AGREEMENT is made th	is day of, 20, by and	
19	between Co-Lead Counsel appointed by the	ne United States District Court for the Northern	
20	District of California in MDL 3047 and [Name of		
21	the Attorney and their Law Firm Executing the Agreement on behalf of themselves and		
22	their Law Firm] (the "Participating Counse	21").	
23	WHEREAS, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California		
24	(MDL 3047) has appointed the following to as Leadership for Plaintiffs to facilitate the		
25	conduct of pretrial proceedings in this MDL:		
26			
27			
28	2711885.2	COMMON BENEFIT ORDER, EXHIBIT C Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR	

1	Attorney	Firm	Leadership
1	Lexi Hazam	Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein LLP	Co-lead counsel
2	l _ <u> </u>		
	Christopher Seeger	Seeger Weiss	Co-lead counsel
3	Previn Warren	Motley Rice	Co-lead counsel
3	Jennie Anderson	Andrus Anderson	Liaison counsel
4	Joseph VanZandt	Beasley Allen	PSC Leadership
	Alexandra Walsh	Walsh Law	PSC Leadership
5	Mike Weinkowitz	Levin, Sedran & Berman	PSC Leadership
	Emily Jeffcott	Morgan & Morgan	PSC Leadership
6	Andre Mura	Gibbs Law Group	PSC Leadership
7	Jayne Conroy	Simmons Hanley Conroy	PSC Leadership
	Matthew Bergman	Social Media Victims Law Center PLL	PSC Leadership
8	Ron Austin	Ron Austin Law LLC	PSC
	James Bilsborrow	Weitz and Luxenberg	PSC
9	Paige Boldt	Watts Guerra	PSC
4.0	Carrie Goldberg	C.A. Goldberg	PSC
10	Sin-Ting Mary Liu	Aylstock Witkin Kreis & Overholtz	PSC
11	Emmie Paulos	Levin Papantonio Rafferty	PSC
	Roland Tellis	Baron Budd, P.C.	PSC
12	Diandra "Fu" Debrosse	DiCello Levitt Gutzler	PSC
	Zimmermann		
13	James Marsh	Marsh Law Firm	PSC
	Hillary Nappi	Hach Rose Schirripa & Ch	PSC
14	Ruth Rizkalla	The Carlson Law Firm	PSC

17

18

WHEREAS, Leadership for Plaintiffs in association with other attorneys working for the common benefit of plaintiffs have developed and are in the process of further developing work product and a record that will be valuable in all proceedings and benefits all plaintiffs alleging injuries or damage caused by Defendants ("Common Benefit Work Product");

1920

21

22

WHEREAS, Participating Counsel wants to acquire the Common Benefit Work Product and establish a framework for an amicable, working relationship with Leadership for Plaintiffs for the mutual benefit of their clients, and for those attorneys who perform work authorized, audited and approved as common benefit to seek common benefit compensation;

23

24

25

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants and promises contained herein, and intending to be legally bound hereby, the parties agree as follows:

26

27

I. SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

A. Purpose

- 1. This Participation Agreement is a private cooperative agreement between plaintiffs' attorneys to share Common Benefit Work Product with regard to all injuries and damages arising from Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury-related claims by Participating Counsel, pursuant to the Court's Common Benefit Order. Plaintiffs' attorneys who execute this Participation Agreement are entitled to receive the Common Benefit Work Product created by those attorneys who have also executed or have been deemed to have executed the Participation Agreement.
- 2. The intent of this Participation Agreement is to establish, secure, and supervise a fund to promote the purposes and policies of the common benefit doctrine and provide a source for equitable payment of services rendered and costs incurred for the benefit of plaintiffs.
- 3. There is no need for an attorney who already has a case filed in or transferred to the MDL to sign the Participation Agreement, because they are automatically subject to the Common Benefit Order, and any amendments with regard to all cases in which they have a fee interest, regardless of whether any of their other cases are filed in other jurisdictions, or not yet filed.
- 4. For those cases that have been transferred to the MDL, but are subject to a remand motion, if a case is determined to have been improperly removed to this Court after the Court's consideration of the remand motion and is remanded to the transferor court, that case will not automatically be subject to Common Benefit Assessment by virtue of it having been temporarily venued in this Court. However, if the case received and benefited from the work product of the MDL, it could be assessed, after due consideration by the Court following briefing by the parties.

B. Rights and Obligations of Participating Counsel

5. Upon execution of this Participation Agreement, Co-Lead Counsel will provide access to Participating Counsel the Common Benefit Work Product defined in the Court's

2711885.2 - 2 - COMMON BENEFIT ORDER, EXHIBIT C

Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

Common Benefit Order, and any amendments, including access to the document depository as well as all the coding and summarizing of the documents in the depository, access to all deposition transcripts and summaries, deposition cuts for the purpose of trial, medical literature library, legal briefing and research, and, as deemed appropriate by Co-Lead Counsel, expert witness work product. Participating Counsel agree that all Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury cases, as defined in paragraph 11 below, in which Participating Counsel has a fee interest, including unfiled cases, tolled cases, and/or cases filed in state and/or federal court, are subject to the terms of this Participation Agreement.

6. Co-Lead Counsel may periodically request that Participating Counsel produce a list setting forth the name of each Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury client represented by them (whether filed or unfiled) or in which they have any interest in an attorneys' fee, together with the Court and docket number (if filed) of each such case. Unless otherwise specified, Participating Counsel shall provide such a list within 30 days of a request to Co-Lead Counsel Lexi Hazam at lhazam@lchb.com, Christopher Seeger at CSeeger@seegerweiss.com, and Previn Warren at pwarren@motleyrice.com.

II. AGREEMENT TO PAY AN ASSESSMENT ON GROSS RECOVERY

7. Subject to the terms of this Participation Agreement and the terms of the Common Benefit Order, and any amendments, all Plaintiffs and their attorneys who either agree or have agreed—for a monetary consideration—to settle, compromise, dismiss, or reduce the amount of a claim or, with or without trial, recover a judgment for monetary damages or other monetary relief, including such compensatory and punitive damages, with respect to Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury-related claims or cases are subject to an assessment of the gross settlement amount..

A. Gross Monetary Recovery Defined

8. "Gross Monetary Recovery" includes any and all amounts paid to Plaintiffs by Defendants through a settlement or pursuant to a judgment. Gross Monetary Recovery (a) excludes court costs that are to be paid by the defendant; and (b) includes the present value of any fixed and certain payments to be made in the future. The assessment shall apply to all 2711885.2 - 3 - COMMON BENEFIT ORDER, EXHIBIT C

Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

of the claims or cases of the attorneys who are subject to the Common Benefit Order that are pending in the MDL or state court as well as any unfiled or if applicable, tolled claims or cases of such attorneys in which they are counsel or co-counsel, or in which they have any interest.

B. Assessment Amount

- 9. For Participating Counsel, the assessment shall be a combined 10% for fees and costs, with the appropriate division between fees and costs to be proposed by Co-Lead Counsel and approved by the Court prior to any disbursement from the Accounts. Co-Lead Counsel may adjust this percentage up or down with approval of the Court.
- 10. This assessment represents a hold back pursuant to *In re Zyprexa Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 467 F.Supp.2d 256, 266 (2d. Cir. 2006).

C. Covered Claims or Cases

11. Counsel who sign (or who are deemed to have signed) this Participation Agreement agree that the assessment shall apply to all unfiled claims or cases, tolled (if applicable) claims or cases, and claims or cases filed in other courts in which they have any fee interest. Covered claims or cases include all Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury-related claims or cases or actions.

D. Attorney Fee Lien

- 12. With respect to each client who they represent in connection with related claims or cases that are filed or pending in any court, unfiled or subject to a tolling agreement, consistent with the Common Benefit Order establishing the common benefit Social Media Fee and Social Media Expense Accounts, each Participating Counsel agrees to have Defendants deposit or cause to be deposited into the Social Media Fee and Social Media Expense Accounts a percentage proportion of the gross amount recovered by each such client that is equal to the assessment amount.
- 13. In the event Defendants do not deposit such funds into the Social Media Fee and Social Media Expense Accounts, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Participating Counsel are required to so inform the Co-Lead Counsel, and shall deposit or cause to be deposited in the

5

7

12

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

2711885.2

Social Media Fee and Social Media Expense Accounts the percentage proportion of the gross amount recovered by each such client that is equal to the assessment amount.

- 14. Participating Counsel, on behalf of themselves, their affiliated counsel, and their clients, hereby grant the Co-Lead Counsel a lien upon and a security interest in any fee generated as a result of any recovery by any client who they represent in connection with any Social Media Adolescent Addiction/Personal Injury claims to the full extent permitted by law, in order to secure payment in accordance with the provisions of this Participation Agreement.
- 15. Participating Counsel will undertake all actions and execute all documents that are reasonably necessary to effectuate and perfect this lien and/or security interest.

III. **COMMON BENEFIT EXPENSES**

Qualified Expenses Eligible for Reimbursement A.

- In order to be eligible for reimbursement, expenses ("Common Benefit 16. Expenses") must meet the requirements set forth in the Common Benefit Order, Section II.E, which are incorporated herein as if set forth in their entirety. Specifically, said expenses must be:
 - for the common benefit;
 - appropriately authorized, as set forth in the Common Benefit Order and the Participation Agreement;
 - certified by a senior partner of the submitting firm each month attesting to the accuracy and correctness of the monthly submission; and,
 - d. approved by the Common Benefit Time and Expense Billing Manager and Auditor pursuant to her designated authority as set forth in the Common Benefit Order.

В. **Expense Reporting Protocol**

17. Participating Counsel must submit expenses consistent with the Common Benefit Order and any amendments thereto. Expenses incurred on matters common to all claimants in MDL 3047 and authorized by Co-Lead Counsel may be submitted for reimbursement.

> COMMON BENEFIT ORDER, EXHIBIT C Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

- 5 -

18. No costs spent on developing or processing individual issues in any case for an individual client will be considered or should be submitted, unless that case is an authorized bellwether trial case set for trial.

IV. **COMMON BENEFIT WORK**

A. **Qualified Common Benefit Work Eligible for Reimbursement**

- 19. In order to be eligible for reimbursement time and efforts expended for common benefit work must meet the requirements set forth in the Common Benefit Order and any amendments thereto.
- 20. Participating Counsel shall be eligible to seek reimbursement for time and efforts expended for Common Benefit Work, if said time and efforts are:
 - a. for the common benefit;
 - appropriately authorized; b.
 - timely submitted;
 - d. certified by a senior partner of the submitting firm each month attesting to the accuracy and correctness of the monthly submission; and,
 - approved by the Common Benefit Time and Expense Billing Manager and Auditor, pursuant to her designated authority as set forth in the Common Benefit Order.

В. **Authorization**

- 21. Time spent on matters common to all claimants in MDL 3047 must be assigned by Co-Lead Counsel to be eligible for consideration for common benefit. No time spent on developing or processing individual issues in any case for an individual client will be considered or should be submitted, nor will time spent on unauthorized and unapproved work.
- 22. For examples of authorized and unauthorized work please review the Common Benefit Order and any amendments thereto.

27

C. <u>Common-Benefit Time Keeping Protocols</u>

- 23. As set forth in the Common Benefit Order and any amendments therto, all time must be accurately and contemporaneously maintained. Participating Counsel agree to follow the time-keeping protocols set forth in Common Benefit Order and any amendments therto.
- 24. Upon order of the Court, payments may be made from the Social Media Fee and Social Media Expense Accounts to Participating Counsel who provide services or incur expenses for the joint and common benefit of plaintiffs. Attorneys eligible are limited to Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel, Liaison Counsel, Steering Committee Leadership and Membership, other MDL counsel called upon to assist in performing the Plaintiffs' Leadership's responsibilities, and other Participating Counsel called upon by the Plaintiffs' Leadership to assist in performing their responsibilities.
- 25. **No Individual Rights to the Funds.** No party or attorney has any individual right to any of funds from the assessment except to the extent of amounts directed to be disbursed to such person by Order of this Court. These funds will not constitute the property of any party or attorney or be subject to garnishment or attachment for the debts of any party or attorney except when and as directed to be disbursed as provided by court order to a specific person.
- 26. <u>Court Approval</u>. The amounts deposited in the Social Media Fee and Social Media Expense Accounts shall be available for distribution only to attorneys who have performed professional services or incurred expenses for the common benefit. The MDL Court retains jurisdiction over the common benefit award. Each Participating Counsel who does authorized common benefit work has the right to present their claim(s) for compensation prior to any recommendation to the Court.
- 27. Other Fee Assessments. This Participation Agreement is without prejudice to such other assessments of or awards of fees and costs as may be ordered by any Court, including under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(h) or any analogous state court procedural rules, the common benefit doctrine, or that may be provided by contract between attorneys and clients.

2711885.2

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 1520-1 Filed 08/28/24 Page 85 of 85

1	28. <u>Fee Committee</u> .	Participating Counsel understands that at a later date the		
2	Common Benefit Time and Exp	ense Billing Manager and Auditor, and/or a Fee and Expense		
3	Committee appointed by the Court, may make recommendations to the MDL Court on how			
4	funds in the Social Media Fee and Social Media Expense Accounts should be distributed.			
5	4	AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND		
6				
7	Dated:, 20_	Firm Name:		
8		Attorney's Name:		
9				
10	I hereby agree to be a Particip	ating Counsel and certify that I am signing this Participation		
11	Agreement voluntarily. I also continuo this Participation Agreemen	ertify that I have the authority and power to bind my law firm t.		
12	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAIN	TIFFS' CO-LEAD COUNSEL:		
13	Dated:, 20_	<u></u>		
14		Lexi Hazam		
15	Dated:, 20_			
16		Christopher Seeger		
17	Dated:, 20_	Previn Warren		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	2711885.2	- 8 - COMMON BENEFIT ORDER, EXHIBIT C		